

Cambridge Waste Water Treatment Plant Relocation Project
Anglian Water Services Limited

Applicant Regard to Section 47 Consultation Responses

Application Document Reference: 6.1.2
PINS Project Reference: WW010003
APFP Regulation No. 5(2)q

Document Control

Document title	Applicant Regard to Section 47 Consultation Responses
Version No.	01
Date Approved	23.01.23
Date 1st Issued	30.01.23

Version History

Version	Date	Author	Description of change
01	30.01.23	-	DCO Submission

Contents

1	Phase Two Consultation (statutory phase one)	1
2	Phase Three Consultation (statutory phase two)	33

Tables

Table 1-1	Air Quality.....	1
Table 1-2	Agricultural land and Soil.....	2
Table 1-3	Biodiversity.....	3
Table 1-4	Carbon	6
Table 1-5	Consultation	8
Table 1-6	Community and Health	10
Table 1-7	Historic Environment	13
Table 1-8	Land Quality	14
Table 1-9	Landscape and Visual Amenity.....	15
Table 1-10	Noise and Vibration	20
Table 1-11	Odour.....	21
Table 1-12	Traffic and Transport.....	22
Table 1-13	Water Resources	28
Table 1-14	Cumulative Effects	29
Table 1-15	Other and/or General Comments Received.....	30
Table 2-1	Agricultural land and soil	33
Table 2-2	Air Quality.....	34
Table 2-3	Biodiversity.....	35
Table 2-4	Consultation	36
Table 2-5	Carbon	38
Table 2-6	Community	39
Table 2-7	Discovery Centre and Gateway Building.....	41
Table 2-8	Historic Environment	42
Table 2-9	Landscape and Visual Amenity.....	43
Table 2-10	Noise and Vibration	47
Table 2-11	Odour.....	48
Table 2-12	Planning and Policy	49



Table 2-13 Traffic and Transport.....	51
Table 2-14 Water Resources	56
Table 2-15 Other and/or General Comments Received.....	57

1 Phase Two Consultation (statutory phase one)

Table 1-1 Air Quality

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30502; AW30803; AW30109; AW30503; AW30709; AW30912; AW30924; AW30905; AWCP0318; AWCP0320; AWCP0321; AWCP0322; AWCP0327; AWCP0347; AWCP0350; AWCP03427; AWCP03512; AWCP03515; AWCP03595; AWCP03605; AWCP03661; AWCP03663; AWCP03665; AWCP03666; AWCP03675; AWCP03703; AWCP03798; AWCP03801; AWCP03854; AWCP031152; AW31015; AW31020; AW31036; AW31041; AW30109; AWCP0337; AWCP0360; AWCP0365; AWCP0366; AWCP03652; AW30132; AWCP03810; AW30924; AWCP03656	<p>Respondents expressed concern over the impact that the relocation project will have on air pollution. Specifically, the impact of construction and operational traffic on the health of the potentially impacted population.</p> <p>Respondents were additionally concerned about the presence of dust. Respondents highlighted that vulnerable groups are more affected by changes to the environment.</p> <p>These include children with autism or asthma and adults with COPD.</p>	No	<p>Regarding the impact of traffic on air quality, the Applicant confirms that the vehicle movements from the existing facility will be redistributed to the proposed facility and would not result in an increase from the vehicle movements currently associated with operational activities. The assessment considers future growth in vehicle movements, these are less than 10%. In addition, there would be progressive decarbonisation of the fleet during this period. The change in vehicle movements and associated emissions is negligible. The mitigation relating to construction traffic movements is found in the Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) and further mitigations relating to other elements such as dust management are available in the Code of Construction Practice Part A (see Application document reference 5.4.2.1).</p> <p>Further information is also available in Document Reference Volume 5.2: Environmental Statement, Chapter 7: Air Quality.</p>

Table 1-2 Agricultural land and Soil

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020401; AW0204119; AW0204126; AW020417; AW020419; AW020424; AW020431; AW020434; AW020437; AW020508; AW020515; AW020522; AWCPM020009; AWCPM020119; AWCPM020128; AWCPM020143; AWCPM020169; AWCP020029; AWCP020132; AW020525; AW020528; AW020529	Respondents have expressed concern over the loss of arable farming land as a result of the relocation project and any cumulative impacts this may have on food production.	No	The Applicant notes this comment and confirms that the loss of food production due to land take would not be part of the Metric 3.0 (developed by Natural England) used for the calculation of BNG (Application document reference 5.2.8 ES, Chapter 8: Biodiversity). Further information is also available in Application document reference No 5.2.6: Agricultural land and soils.

Table 1-3 Biodiversity

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020103; AW020112; AW020117; AW020119; AW020125; AW020145; AW020146; AW020147; AW020148; AW020149; AW020157; AW020164; AW020168; AW020173; AW020174; AW020175; AW020177; AW020178; AW020183; AW020203; AW020204; AW020211; AW020221; AW020229; AW020230; AW020234; AW020243; AW020256; AW020263; AW020267; AW020269; AW020318; AW020319; AW020332; AW020334; AW020336; AW020338; AW020341; AW020344; AW020354; AW020407; AW020409; AW020414; AW020430; AW020444; AW020457; AW020476; AW020485; AW020491; AW020501; AW020509; AW020513; AW020515; AW020523; AW020528; AW020152; AWCP020020; AWCP020054; AWCP020085; AWCP020116; AWCP020133; AWCP020143; AWCP020194; AWCP020222; AWCP020226; AWCP020257; AWCP020266; AWCP020297; AWCP020313; AWCP020314; AWCP020345; AWCP020350; AWCP020427; AWCP020445; AWCPM020027; AWCPM020076; AWCPM020126; AWCPM020175; AWCPM020253; AW020504	Respondents expressed a desire for new planting and rewilding to take place in the site area and expected to see a minimum 10% real increase in biodiversity. Respondents also stated that they would expect this to be maintained and monitored in the future.	Yes	Following the development in the design proposals, the Applicant is now able to commit to a target of 20% biodiversity net gain on the site of the Proposed Development. The Applicant has committed to delivering this through the creation of new woodland and grassland habitats and improved and replaced hedgerows. Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.8, ES Chapter: Biodiversity, and Application document reference 5.4.8.13 Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Report.
AW020113; AW020129; AW020133; AW020139; AW020140; AW020155; AW020162; AW020173; AW020175; AW020183; AW020208; AW020220; AW020221; AW020222; AW020227; AW020233; AW020237; AW020238; AW020248; AW020254; AW020256; AW020261; AW020269; AW020302; AW020310; AW020311; AW020312; AW020314; AW020316; AW020329; AW020332; AW020334; AW020343; AW020348; AW020352; AW020353; AW020354; AW020406; AW020407; AW0204100; AW0204108; AW0204109; AW0204110; AW0204118; AW0204127; AW020413; AW020415; AW020419; AW020420; AW020421; AW020422; AW020423; AW020424; AW020425; AW020426; AW020428; AW020429; AW020431; AW020432; AW020433; AW020435; AW020440; AW020441; AW020444; AW020445; AW020448; AW020450; AW020455; AW020456; AW020461; AW020462; AW020464; AW020466; AW020468; AW020471; AW020473; AW020474; AW020479; AW020480; AW020482; AW020484; AW020486; AW020490; AW020492; AW020521; AW020496; AW020497; AW020499; AW020501; AW020504; AW020506; AW020507; AW020508; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020514; AW020522; AW020523; AW020501; AW020504; AW020505; AW020506; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020002; AWCPM020003; AWCPM020006; AWCPM020009; AWCPM020014; AWCPM020020; AWCPM020027; AWCPM020052; AWCPM020054; AWCPM020068; AWCPM020070; AWCPM020071; AWCPM020076; AWCPM020079; AWCPM020101; AWCPM020107; AWCPM020109; AWCPM020119; AWCPM020122; AWCPM020126; AWCPM020128; AWCPM020146; AWCPM020169; AWCPM020176; AWCPM020179; AWCPM020198; AWCPM020205; AWCPM020213; AWCPM020214; AWCPM020229; AWCPM020243; AWCPM020244; AWCP020002; AWCP020013; AWCP020016; AWCP020019; AWCP020033; AWCP020058; AWCP020068; AWCP020085;	Respondents expressed concern that the construction and operation of the new facility would have a negative impact on biodiversity. This included the disruptive implications that a proposed new access routes would create for local ecology.	No	The impact of the project on biodiversity is set out in the Environment Statement. The Applicant has committed to adopting the best practices during construction that reduces the impact on the environment. Using the feedback received during this phase of consultation, a Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) was made available at the second statutory phase of consultation. In addition to the measures in the CoCP, the project will also sign up to the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS). All Applicant staff and contactors will work in line with by CCS principles throughout the delivery of the construction works. Application document references 5.4.2.1, 5.4.2.2 COCP A & B; Application document reference 5.2.8 ES Chapter Biodiversity

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP020116; AWCP020133; AWCP020143; AWCP020166; AWCP020196; AWCP020204; AWCP020232; AWCP020233; AWCP020235; AWCP020262; AWCP020279; AWCP020297; AWCP020298; AWCP020299; AWCP020300; AWCP020301; AWCP020323; AWCP020328; AWCP020343; AWCP020365; AWCP020411; AWCP020427; AWCP020428; AWCP020442; AW020525; AW020528; AW020125	Respondents have stated that they do not believe that green belt land should be built on.	No	<p>The Applicant recognises the sensitivity of the selected site being in the Green Belt, an assessment is submitted as part of the DCO Application. The Green Belt has been considered as an important planning constraint. Proposals for development within the Green Belt must be considered in the context of national as well as local planning policy, where development may only be permitted if the right circumstances exist.</p> <p>The creation of a sustainable, low carbon community in North East Cambridge relies on Anglian Water’s Cambridge Waste Water Treatment Plant being relocated elsewhere. The chosen site was found, on balance, to perform best across a range of key assessment criteria, and opportunities for delivering enhancements including improving access to the countryside.</p> <p>Further information is set out in the Planning Statement as part of the DCO Application (Application document reference 7.5).</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP020378; AWCP020400; AWCP020428; AWCP020431; AWCP020432; AWCP020442; AW020524; AW020525; AW020528; AW020529			
AW020262; AWCP020222	Some respondents have stated that new trees should not be imported from outside the UK to avoid invasive diseases which can be especially threatening to Oak and Ash	No	The landscape design is based on local landscape character and local species of trees. Further information Application document reference 5.4.8.14: LERMP.
AWCP020201; AWCP020202	Respondents have expressed concern that the design of the new facility will need to be wildlife friendly, e.g. no large glass screens which could endanger birds	No	The design of the Gateway Building described in the Design and Access Statement and is set out in the design plans. It has considered these building design issues. Application document reference. 7.6 Design and Access Statement, Application document reference. 4.10 Building Design Plans.

Table 1-4 Carbon

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
<p>AW020153; AW020203; AW020213; AW020244; AW020311; AW020314; AW020326; AW020330; AW020334; AW020347; AW020352; AW020354; AW020409; AW0204106; AW0204108; AW0204110; AW0204111; AW0204112; AW0204115; AW0204116; AW0204119; AW0204121; AW0204126; AW020418; AW020423; AW020424; AW020425; AW020426; AW020427; AW020428; AW020429; AW020430; AW020431; AW020432; AW020434; AW020435; AW020437; AW020440; AW020441; AW020444; AW020446; AW020448; AW020451; AW020454; AW020455; AW020459; AW020460; AW020462; AW020464; AW020466; AW020471; AW020474; AW020476; AW020478; AW020480; AW020481; AW020483; AW020484; AW020486; AW020492; AW020493; AW020496; AW020497; AW020499; AW020501; AW020502; AW020504; AW020507; AW020508; AW020509; AW020510; AW020511; AW020512; AW020513; AW020514; AW020515; AW020517; AW020521; AW020523; AW020504; AW020506; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020010; AWCPM020119 AWCPM020014; AWCPM020022; AWCPM020043; AWCPM020078; AWCPM020079; AWCPM020080; AWCPM020103; AWCPM020109; AWCPM020113; AWCPM020118; AWCPM020137; AWCPM020154; AWCPM020175; AWCPM020176; AWCPM020179; AWCPM020190; AWCPM020192; AW020113; AW020423; AWCPM020248; AWCP020021; AWCP020023; AWCP020031; AWCP020033; AWCP020052; AWCP020076; AWCP020083; AWCP020092; AWCP020107; AWCP020114; AWCP020130; AWCP020133; AWCP020135; AWCP020139; AWCP020155; AWCP020157; AWCP020163; AWCP020166; AWCP020167; AWCP020177; AWCP020181; AWCP020193; AWCP020194; AWCP020196; AWCP020216; AWCP020244; AWCP020246; AWCP020247; AWCP020256; AWCP020260; AWCP020262; AWCP020275; AWCP020297; AWCP020311; AWCP020327; AWCP020353; AWCP020367; AWCP020378; AWCP020384; AWCP020393; AWCP020400; AWCP020401; AWCP020406; AWCP020415; AWCP020423; AWCP020428; AWCP020442; AWCP020444; AWCP020447; AW020524; AW020207; AW020243; AW020434; AW020451; AW020461; AW020152; AW020172; AW0204100; AW020122; AW020157; AW020183; AW020222; AW020238; AW020262; AW020345; AW020403; AW020148; AW020183; AW020211; AW020263; AW020264; AW020416; AWCPM020010; AW020125; AW020316; AW020337; AW020353; AW0204110; AW020449; AW020459; AW020462; AW020478; AW020485; AW020491; AW020493; AW020496; AW020498; AW020507; AW020508; AW020519; AW020503; AW020507; AW020508; AWCP020027; AWCP020089; AWCP020159; AWCP020164; AWCP020384</p>	<p>Respondents have expressed concern surrounding the carbon cost and emissions involved in the construction and operation of the new facility, and the decommissioning of the existing facility.</p> <p>Respondents have expressed that the development should be net zero carbon and have suggested the use of electric vehicles and utilisation of renewable energy sources.</p>	No	<p>The new facility, as well as being operationally net zero carbon, will be energy neutral. It is designed to adapt to changing social and environmental priorities, increasing resilience to storm flows and flooding and provide a long-term solution to how we best treat waste water for a growing Greater Cambridge population. This will better serve the community and the environment for future years. The Environmental Statement assesses the carbon impact of the project (see Application document reference 5.2.10).</p> <p>As One of the largest energy users in the East of England, Anglian Water are committed to reaching net zero carbon operational emissions by 2030. Anglian Water’s design vision goes beyond just building a new plant. It isn’t simply about moving an old facility to a new location. The plant is planned to become Anglian Water’s flagship water recycling centre, marking a significant step forward in process efficiency and environmental performance. The Applicant has committed to the Proposed Development being operationally net zero, and it will therefore contribute to the Applicant’s goal of reaching net zero by 2030. The new facility will significantly reduce operational carbon emissions compared to the existing Cambridge waste water treatment plant.</p> <p>The Applicant is committed to achieving a 70% reduction in capital carbon for the Proposed Development compared with a 2010 baseline. The Applicant has also committed to installing solar panels within the Proposed Development, which are set to produce up to 7 GigaWatt/Hrs of clean electricity per year. Alongside this the Proposed Development will generate biogas which, when processed and exported into the local gas network, will be used to heat the homes of the local community as a renewable fuel source</p> <p>Chapter 10 of the Environmental Statement (Application document reference 5.2.10, ES Chapter: Carbon) provides information on carbon calculations regarding the decommissioning of the existing facility, construction of the Proposed Development (embedded carbon in materials), land use change (the net impact land permanently required for the Proposed Development) and the operation of the Proposed Development.</p> <p>Carbon of the existing Cambridge WWTP is not part of the scope of the Proposed Development. That work will be completed by the future developer of the core site and considered as part of a separate planning application. It is likely to include the effects of emissions from plant used in demolition and the offset associated with the re-use of materials including secondary</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
			<p>aggregate, recovered steel and equipment. The wider effects of changing the existing Cambridge WWTP are also be covered by a separate strategic assessment.</p> <p>Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.10, ES Chapter: Carbon.</p>

Table 1-5 Consultation

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
<p>AW020163; AW020167; AW020407; AW020509; AWCPM020040; AWCPM020057; AWCPM020059; AWCPM020090; AWCPM020103; AWCPM020151; AWCPM020241; AWCP020045; AWCP020056; AWCP020066; AWCP020093; AWCP020099; AWCP020103; AWCP020107; AWCP020120; AWCP020123; AWCP020129; AWCP020136; AWCP020149; AWCP020173; AWCP020220; AWCP020230; AWCP020248; AWCP020281; AWCP020286; AWCP020423; AWCP020439</p>	<p>Respondents commented that they do not feel that feedback will be or has been sufficiently considered, engaged with or responded to.</p>	No	<p>The Applicant has sought to undertake iterative consultation with the community which started at the site selection phase for the Proposed Development and has continued over three phases. "Following each phase of community consultation, the Applicant has considered all feedback in the development of its plans.</p> <p>Following each phase of community consultation, the Applicant produced a Consultation Summary Report which summarised the feedback received to each consultation phase and how the Applicant has sought to have regard to that feedback. Copies of the Consultation Summary Reports can be found in Appendices 14, 18 and 21 of this the Consultation Report (Application document reference 5.1)</p> <p>The Applicant has detailed all responses it has received to Phase Two Consultation and how it has had regard to the responses received in this Appendix.</p>
AWCPM020008	<p>Respondent stated that the phase I consultation did not consider all written consultations. They argue that it was therefore unlawful. They ask AW to confirm whether all the written submissions were included in analysis of the first consultation.</p>		<p>The Applicant conducted a non-statutory consultation from 08 July – 14 September 2020. The purpose of this consultation was to share information about the site selection process to date and consult on the three site options presented. The Applicant received feedback, with all written and online feedback analysed and reported in its Consultation Summary Report (Appendix 14). The Applicant considered the response received to the Phase One consultation when concluding the site selection process for the Proposed Development. Details of this process is captured in the Applicant's Site Selection Report (Application document reference: 7.3).</p>
<p>AWCP020149; AWCP020150; AWCP020158; AWCP020164; AWCP020172; AWCP020173; AWCP020180; AWCP020205; AWCP020224; AWCP020231; AWCP020238; AWCP020249; AWCP020265; AWCP020286; AWCP020324; AWCP020331; AWCP020334; AWCP020336; AWCP020341; AWCP020362; AWCP020379; AWCP020382; AWCP020399; AWCP020402; AW020525; AW020527</p>	<p>Respondent does not feel that avenues offered for feedback were sufficient or accessible. Eg. Phone lines were closed on the weekend, they received limited notice about meetings, the website was difficult to use. They have therefore requested further consultation opportunity.</p>	No	<p>The Applicant provide a range of consultation channels during the pre-application phase. These included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mailing of the Community Consultation Leaflet to the core consultation zone • Two rounds of community consultation events, one held during Phase Two consultation following the easing of COVID-19 restrictions, and another round held during Phase Three consultation. Where face to face events could not be held for the Phase One consultation, the Applicant held webinars. • Displaying hard copy information available across 7 CAP sites • Advertising across 25 local information points • Holding stakeholder meetings throughout the wider consultation

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP020329; AWCP020434	<p>Respondents were dissatisfied with the published documents. Some do not feel that images on the leaflet were realistic or accurate whilst others think the booklet is too long and extensive.</p>	No	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Using online and social media techniques: dedicated project website and Twitter account. • Creating a digital engagement platform for users to provide online feedback • Creating a Virtual Exhibition for users to view consultation material in an alternative format to the community consultation document. • Engaging with the wider Cambridgeshire media as well as regional and national media through press releases and radio and TV interviews • Making available dedicated information lines throughout the pre-application phase: • Freephone: 0808 196 1661 (The Applicant notes that a voicemail service was available throughout the consultation period) • [REDACTED] • FREEPOST: CWWTPR • Twitter: @CambridgeWWTPR <p>The Applicant consulted on these channels when consulting on its draft Statement of Community Consultation with the relevant local planning authorities.</p> <p>Full details of the Applicants consultation is provided in the Consultation Report (Application document reference 5.1).</p> <p>The Applicant provided visualisations so that respondents could view what the proposed design of the facility would look like from different viewpoints, that were consulted on with the Cambridgeshire County Council. This allowed respondents to provide more specific feedback on the proposed designs ahead of a more detailed photomontages provided at Phase Three consultation.</p> <p>Post Phase Three Consultation further design modification has taken place and the Applicant has significantly reduced the visual impact and aligned with the initial design principles.</p> <p>Please see Application document reference 5.2.15: ES Chapter 15: Landscape & Visual Amenity, Application document reference 5.4.15.1: Photomontages.</p>

Table 1-6 Community and Health

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020117; AW020175	Respondents were content that the new water recycling plant will be beneficial for the general population.	No	The Applicant noted this comment. The new facility, as well as being operationally net zero carbon, will be energy neutral. It is designed to adapt to changing social and environmental priorities, increasing resilience to storm flows and flooding and provide a long-term solution to how we best treat waste water for a growing Greater Cambridge population. This will better serve the community and the environment for future years.
AW020122; AW020145; AW020308; AW020409; AW0204105; AW0204106; AW0204108; AW0204111; AW0204112; AW0204116; AW0204118; AW0204120; AW020422; AW020423; AW020430; AW020444; AW020451; AW020459; AW020466; AW020468; AW020473; AW020483; AW020484; AW020495; AW020499; AW020503; AW020513; AW020515; AW020520; AW020501; AW020503; AW020504; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020045; AWCPM020074; AWCPM020146; AWCPM020245; AWCP020089; AWCP020094; AWCP020131; AWCP020182; AWCP020283; AWCP020418; AWCP020424	Respondents highlighted that proposed new footpaths would cross through a high odour area so are therefore not desirable.	Yes	Footpaths and cycle paths are modelled at less than 5 OU_E/m^3 , which presents a medium magnitude of impact. However, in line with IAQM guidance, the footpaths have been classified as low sensitivity receptors. Therefore, the proposed development has a negligible effect on the footpaths and cycle paths. The Odour Chapter of the Environmental Statement provides an assessment of the effects of odour and is supported by predictive modelling reported. See Application document reference 5.2.18 ES Chapter 18: Odour, and Application document references: 5.4.18. 1-4 Odour Appendices.
AWCP020092; AWCP020100; AWCP020111; AWCP020139; AWCP020174; AWCP020186; AWCP020193; AWCP020216; AWCP020225; AWCP020243; AWCP020258; AWCP020302; AWCP020318; AWCP020361; AWCP020375; AWCP020408; AW020471	Respondents question the need for the creation of footpaths when greenbelt already exists for recreational purposes. Respondents expressed that the existing greenbelt is preferred to proposed landscaping and footpaths for recreational purposes.	yes	<p>The new landscape at the Proposed Development creates a series of new recreational connections, on site and linking to the wider recreational network. The LERMP (document reference 5.4.8.14), illustrates the connectivity to the existing public rights of way network. On the site itself, new links will be created for pedestrians and recreational cyclists, as set out in the LERMP and Section 6 and 7 of the Design and Access Statement (document reference 7.6).</p> <p>As has been raised as a concern through consultation with the community, the Applicant confirms that the green space is not intended as a recreational destination in its own right, the aim is to create connectivity to the surrounding area. The landscape masterplan provides alternative access and routing for recreational use by pedestrians and those on non-motorised transport through the area and in so doing diffuses and disperses footfall by offering more choice and creating positive experiences for recreational users of this area within the wider landscape.</p> <p>A new bridleway to the east of the Proposed Development was proposed early in the process, linking the permissive path on Low Fen Drove Way with routes to Stow cum Quy. The Applicant has discussed the plans for a new Bridleway with the relevant Councils through the PROW TWG. The LERMP provides details on pathways and connectivity. We will continue to engage the Councils as part of the drafting of the Statement of Common Ground.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020145; AW020147; AW020176; AW020222; AW020224; AW020234; AW020236; AW020237; AW020253; AW020268; AW020308; AW020313; AW020323; AW020325; AW020326; AW020327; AW020329; AW020342; AW020352; AW020354; AW020408; AW020409; AW0204100; AW0204101; AW0204109; AW0204111; AW0204116; AW0204120; AW0204124; AW020420; AW020422; AW020428; AW020429; AW020430; AW020432; AW020434; AW020435; AW020441; AW020444; AW020445; AW020446; AW020448; AW020449; AW020451; AW020453; AW020454; AW020455; AW020461; AW020468; AW020470; AW020471; AW020476; AW020477; AW020479; AW020481; AW020482; AW020484; AW020486; AW020499; AW020501; AW020502; AW020504; AW020506; AW020507; AW020508; AW020509; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020514; AW020515; AW020517; AW020521; AW020502; AW020503; AW020506; AW020508; AWCP020053; AWCP020073; AWCP020100; AWCP020107; AWCP020110; AWCP020132; AWCP020139; AWCP020146; AWCP020155; AWCP020168; AWCP020176; AWCP020232; AWCP020256; AWCP020271; AWCP020304; AWCP020306; AWCP020315; AWCP020340; AWCP020369; AWCP020370; AWCP020375; AWCP020380; AWCP020393; AWCP020410; AWCP020412; AWCP020424; AWCP020447; AW020525;	Respondents question the value of the Discovery Centre and whether many will want to visit a sewage facility opposed by the local community. They express concern that damage will be caused to the surrounding environment during its construction and operation due to subsequent traffic visiting the Discovery Centre	Yes	<p>The Applicant acknowledges that not all feedback received regarding the Discovery Centre was positive, therefore sought to evolve the design so educational opportunities can be achieved in a way that is sensitive to the immediate community's feedback.</p> <p>In doing so, the Applicant has committed to a Discovery Centre that will be appointment only and therefore there will not be significant visitor traffic. The Discovery Centre will have a managed education programme, targeted towards scheduled opportunities for local schools and groups to learn about sustainability and the waste water treatment process. The impact of additional traffic from these visiting arrangements will therefore be minimal and accommodated within the proposed access and parking at the entrance of the facility.</p>
AW020103; AW020108; AW020112; AW020146; AW020119; AW020121; AW020123; AW020157; AW020172; AW020173; AW020175; AW020177; AW020201; AW020207; AW020221; AW020223; AW020249; AW020263; AW020267; AW020307; AW020317; AW020320; AW020334; AW020345; AW0204122; AW020416; AW020465; AW020474; AW020485; AW020497; AW020523; AWCP020019; AWCP020041; AWCP020070; AWCP020117; AWCP020124; AWCP020274; AWCP020312; AWCP020344; AWCP020349; AWCP020363; AWCP020392; AWCP020394; AWCP020401; AWCP020426; AWCP020432; AW020229; AW020269; AW020344; AW020407; AWCPM020221;	Some respondents expressed approval of the proposed Discovery Centre. Some respondents highlighted that the proposed educational facility should provide learning opportunities in conjunction with local schools	Yes	<p>The Applicant acknowledges that not all feedback received regarding the Discovery Centre was positive, therefore sought to evolve the design so educational opportunities can be achieved in a way that is sensitive to the immediate community's feedback.</p> <p>In doing so, the Applicant has committed to a Discovery Centre that will be appointment only. Therefore, the Discovery Centre will have a managed education programme, targeted towards scheduled opportunities for local schools and groups to learn about sustainability and the waste water treatment process.</p>
AW020230; AW020338; AW020445	Respondents expressed concern regarding disruption that construction, development and operation of the new WWTP would create for recreational river activities such as kayaking.	Yes	<p>The Applicant has committed to adopting the best practices during construction that reduces the impact on the community. Using the feedback received during this phase of consultation, a draft Code of Construction Practice (CoCP), was made available at the next phase of consultation.</p> <p>In addition to the measures in the final CoCP (Application document reference 5.4.2.1), the project will also sign up to the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS). All Applicant staff and contactors will work in line with by CCS principles throughout the delivery of the construction works.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020148; AW20150; AW020157; AW020162; AW020171; AW020174; AW020175; AW020181; AW020183; AW020203; AW020206; AW020207; AW020219; AW020221; AW020230; AW020233; AW020238; AW020241; AW020243; AW020247; AW020248; AW020254; AW020256; AW020262; AW020264; AW020267; AW020307; AW020317; AW020320; AW020338; AW020412; AW0204122; AW020456; AW020457; AW020464; AW020497; AW020523; AW020505; AWCPM020091; AWCP020017; AWCP020274; AWCP020283; AWCP020293; AWCP020312; AWCP020332; AW020102; AW020105; AW020108; AW020112; AW020117; AW020118; AW020128; AW020131; AW020141; AW020103; AWCP020017; AWCP020145; AWCPM020238; AW020125			

Table 1-7 Historic Environment

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020175; AW020180; AW020207; AW020225; AW020234; AW020350; AW020352; AW020353; AW020354; AW0204100; AW0204102; AW0204108; AW0204114; AW0204116; AW020423; AW020428; AW020444; AW020446; AW020459; AW020464; AW020470; AW020473; AW020480; AW020484; AW020490; AW020494; AW020496; AW020498; AW020509; AW020514; AW020515; AW020517; AW020521; AW020522; AW020504; AW020506; AW020509; AWCPM020018; AWCPM020048; AWCPM020056; AWCPM020061; AWCPM020066; AWCPM020070; AWCPM020079; AWCPM020083; AWCPM020102; AWCPM020103; AWCPM020105; AWCPM020119; AWCPM020120; AWCPM020123; AWCPM020127; AWCPM020146; AWCPM020152; AWCPM020156; AWCPM020160; AWCPM020168; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020203; AWCPM020213; AWCPM020233; AWCPM020251; AWCPM020252; AWCP020074; AWCP020091; AWCP020092; AWCP020132; AWCP020196; AWCP020229; AWCP020237; AWCP020287; AWCP020409; AWCP020432; AW020528; AW020529	<p>Respondents expressed concern regarding the effect that construction and operation of the proposed facility would have on rural heritage sites. They emphasised the need for access to heritage spaces and conservation areas, and protecting the future of Cambridge and the surrounding villages. Land beach is a historic village which houses the famous Grade 2 listed Tithe Barn - the only surviving one of its kind. Respondents commented that the sewage works smell would seriously take away from the enjoyment of this precious building.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Applicant notes the comments and the impact of the Proposed Development on the existing landscape. The impact on the Historic Environment has been fully assessed and is set out in Application Document Reference 5.2.13 ES Chapter 13: Historic Environment. The effects of construction (temporary activities) and of operation (traffic, etc.) of the Proposed Development on heritage assets are also considered in this chapter in addition to permanent structures/changes. Application document reference. 5.2.13 ES Chapter Historic Environment</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to adopting the best practices during construction that reduces the impact on the community. Using the feedback received during this phase of consultation, a Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (Application document reference 5.4.2.1) is submitted as part of the DCO Application. Mitigation measures with respect to Grade II listed assets are set out within the CoCP</p> <p>In addition to the measures in the CoCP, the project will also sign up to the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS). All Applicant staff and contactors will work in line with by CCS principles throughout the delivery of the construction works.</p>

Table 1-8 Land Quality

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020150	Respondent was concerned that proposals must minimise the risk of top soil being removed from the area by adverse weather conditions such as strong winds.	No	The Applicant acknowledges that one of the key impacts during construction will be the temporary loss of agricultural land to farm businesses and the potential for construction practices to adversely impact soil quality, which could affect soil reinstatement. To mitigate this impact, an outline Agricultural Land Classification (ALC) and Soil Management Plan (SMP) will inform sustainable soil handling during construction (Application document reference 5.2.6 ES, Chapter 6: Agricultural Land and Soils).

Table 1-9 Landscape and Visual Amenity

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020145;AW020149; AW020167; AW020168; AW020172; AW020173; AW020181; AW020205; AW020207; AW020211; AW020223; AW020232; AW020235; AW020238; AW020239; AW020254; AW020256; AW020257; AW020260; AW020262; AW020264; AW020265; AW020301; AW020307; AW020323; AW020354; AW020401; AW020410; AW0204100; AW0204114; AW0204117; AW020412; AW020412; AW0204124; AW020424; AW020425; AW020441; AW020443; AW020446; AW020450; AW020453; AW020485; AW020496; AW020498; AW020499; AW020506; AW020508; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020513; AW020505; AW020506; AW020509; AWCPM020021; AWCPM020041; AWCPM020050; AWCPM020052; AWCPM020060; AWCPM020097; AWCPM020115; AWCPM020209; AWCPM020211; AWCPM020230; AWCPM020251; AWCPM020253; AWCP020002; AWCP020009; AWCP020016; AWCP020020; AWCP020032; AWCP020034; AWCP020035; AWCP020037; AWCP020038; AWCP020042; AWCP020046; AWCP020054; AWCP020068; AWCP020071; AWCP020079; AWCP020085; AWCP020090; AWCP020097; AWCP020108; AWCP020112; AWCP020116; AWCP020118; AWCP020125; AWCP020140; AWCP020147; AWCP020152; AWCP020155; AWCP020169; AWCP020209; AWCP020212; AWCP020217; AWCP020222; AWCP020226; AWCP020244; AWCP020266; AWCP020288; AWCP020290; AWCP020295; AWCP020303; AWCP020307; AWCP020313; AWCP020321; AWCP020334; AWCP020337; AWCP020345; AWCP020350; AWCP020365; AWCP020371; AWCP020376; AWCP020381; AWCP020389; AWCP020396; AWCP020403; AWCP020425; AWCP020427; AWCP020428; AW020528; AW020106; AW020176; AW020314; AW020475	<p>Respondents commented that the development should blend with the surrounding landscape. They commented on architecture and design of the development whilst suggesting that developers should work collaboratively with other local projects for optimal landscape results.</p>	No	<p>Throughout the design evolution of the Proposed Development the Applicant has placed mitigating impacts at the core of the design. As set out in the Applicant’s Design and Access Statement (Application document reference 7.6), the masterplan has sought to minimise the total land take for the Proposed Development. This includes minimising land required for development through efficient planning and optimising the area around it to integrate the Proposed Development into the countryside and best mitigate its impact on the landscape and Green Belt. These studies concluded that the project should be located within the area defined by the A14, B1047 Horningsea Road, Low Fen Drove Way and the County Wildlife Site (CWS); an area of 94 ha total with well-defined boundaries comprising 22 ha for the new facility and 72 ha to mitigate the effects of the Proposed Development.</p> <p>The landscape design for the Proposed Development was developed with stakeholders and communities through TWGs and the Community Working Group (CWG). As set out in the LERMP, (Application document reference 5.4.8.14) the new landscape provides a new and substantial feature of green infrastructure, designed to mitigate the effects of the Proposed Development, to create an aesthetically pleasing and user-friendly green space, and to provide exemplary wildlife benefits through a mosaic of new habitats.</p> <p>Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.15 ES Chapter Landscape and visual amenity. Application document reference: 7.6 DAS Statement.</p> <p>The Applicant is also mindful of the potential effects from developments which have planning permission, in addition to the potential effects of the Proposed Development. These effects are considered and assessed within the cumulative impact assessment and makes reference to the plan level HRA Report for the North East Cambridge Area Action Plan (NECAAP) prepared by SCDC, The Greater Cambridge Local Plan HRA Scoping Report, the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan HRA Report, and the Cambridge East Area Action Plan HRA Report. The CEA is included within the Environmental Statement. Engagement has been held with stakeholders who have interest in the wider area in order to discuss the ensure the project complements local initiatives such as the Wicken Fen Vision and Cambridge Nature Network (see Application document reference 5.2.21 ES, Chapter 21: Cumulative Effects).</p>
AW020139; AW020148; AW020157; AW020170; AW020175; AW020208; AW020222; AW020223; AW020228; AW020234; AW020235; AW020245; AW020246; AW020266; AW020313; AW020314; AW020325; AW020326; AW020342; AW020349; AW020351; AW0204110; AW0204111;	<p>Respondents expressed general concern regarding the visual impact and aesthetic of the WWTP. Respondents object to</p>	Yes	<p>Throughout the design evolution of the Proposed Development the Applicant has placed mitigating impacts at the core of the design. As set out in the Applicant’s Design and Access Statement (Application document reference 7.6),</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW0204117; AW0204118; AW0204119; AW0204121; AW0204123; AW020422; AW020423; AW020424; AW020425; AW020441; AW020446; AW020449; AW020450; AW020453; AW020456; AW020459; AW020475; AW020486; AW020494; AW020496; AW020497; AW020499; AW020502; AW020506; AW020509; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020513; AW020515; AW020519; AW020501; AW020502; AW020504; AW020505; AW020506; AW020509; AWCPM020002; AWCPM020013; AWCPM020041; AWCPM020059; AWCPM020060; AWCPM020070; AWCPM020102; AWCPM020110; AWCPM020111; AWCPM020137; AWCPM020144; AWCPM020152; AWCPM020162; AWCPM020164; AWCPM020166; AWCPM020167; AWCPM020173; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020230; AWCPM020233; AWCPM020251; AWCPM020256; AWCP020057; AWCP020110; AWCP020155; AWCP020183; AWCP020192; AWCP020216; AWCP020217; AWCP020244; AWCP020355; AWCP020374; AWCP020389; AWCP020405; AWCP020406; AWCP020433; AW020530; AW020122; AWCPM020181; AWCPM020247; AWCP020030;	<p>views being obscured by the site.</p>		<p>the masterplan has sought to minimise the total land take for the Proposed Development. This includes minimising land required for development through efficient planning and optimising the area around it to integrate the Proposed Development into the countryside and best mitigate its impact on the landscape and Green Belt.</p> <p>The landscape design for the Proposed Development was developed with stakeholders and communities through TWGs and the CWG. As set out in the LERMP, Application document reference 5.4.8.14) the new landscape provides a new and substantial feature of green infrastructure, designed to mitigate the effects of the Proposed Development, to create an aesthetically pleasing and user-friendly green space, and to provide exemplary wildlife benefits through a mosaic of new habitats.</p> <p>The Applicant proposed a circular earth bank to envelope and effectively screen all but the tallest elements of the Proposed Development from all directions. The Applicant has committed to further screening through landscaping and planting measures, including on-site and initial planting as outlined in the LERMP (Application document reference 5.4.8.14).</p> <p>Key changes to the evolution of the landscape design in response to Phase Two and Three consultation have included the removal of the engineered screen from the earth bank, replaced by mature planting on top of the earth bank. The Applicant has also reviewed the engineering design of the Proposed Development and has committed to the anaerobic digesters – being the tallest elements – being no taller than a maximum of 20 metres. This is a reduction from the previous 26 metre maximum. The massing of the buildings has also been reduced. A further review of the landscape design following Phase Three Consultation, and responses from technical stakeholders, creates a design that aligns with the planting and shape of existing vegetation in order fit into the local landscape character.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020325; AW020408; AW0204101; AW0204119; AW0204120; AW020413; AW020426; AW020434; AW020446; AW020450; AW020461; AW020468; AW020494; AW020502; AW020509; AW020514; AW020521; AW020506; AWCPM020020; AWCPM020107; AWCPM020119; AWCPM020132; AWCPM020173; AWCPM020188; AWCPM020198; AWCPM020203; AWCP020223; AW020528	Respondents were concerned about the light pollution generated by the facility at night time and the impact that this would have on general aesthetic and local wildlife. They have requested further information and detail surrounding plans for lighting.	No	<p>Further information regarding the impact of lighting during the construction and operation of the new facility was made available at Phase Three consultation, in the PEIR Chapter - Landscape and Visual.</p> <p>The Applicant has confirmed that the height of the lights inside the earth bank will be no greater than 5m. This lighting will also be directed downwards and generally only when carrying out tasks. Lighting outside of the earth bank will be discrete and kept to a minimum for safety reasons. It will also be active for use, not activated all through night time hours. Lighting along Horningsea Road will be agreed with the local highway authority and only installed if absolutely necessary for safety reasons. A Lighting Assessment has been carried out and plans for lighting are set out in the Project Description (Application Document Reference 5.2.2 ES, Chapter 2: Project Description)</p> <p>Further information in the final application is available in Application Document Reference 5.2.15, ES Chapter 15: Landscape and visual amenity.</p>
AW020113; AW020222; AW020227; AW020238; AW020444; AW020449; AW020451; AW020453; AW020454; AW020462; AW020471; AW020477; AW020496; AW020499; AW020509; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020515; AW020519; AW020520; AW020521; AW020503; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020006; AWCPM020013; AWCPM020041; AWCPM020047; AWCPM020072; AWCPM020137; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020256; AWCP020097; AWCP020101; AWCP020156; AWCP020217; AWCP020290; AWCP020303; AWCP020319; AWCP020321; AWCP020435	Respondents were apprehensive that there is not sufficient landscaping to disguise the new WWTP.	Yes	<p>The Applicant has committed to encircle the facility with a high earth bank, restore and reinforce planting in key locations to further screen views from local communities.</p> <p>The comprehensive landscape and planting proposals will be supported by a long-term management scheme, will be described in the Landscape, Ecological and Recreational Management Plan that was made available at the second statutory phase of consultation.</p> <p>The PEIR that was consulted on at Phase Three consultation also contained a selected of photomontages from eight representative locations to show the latest designs of the facility from that particular viewpoint.</p> <p>Key changes to the evolution of the landscape design in response to Phase Two and Three consultation have included the removal of the engineered screen from the earth bank, replaced by mature planting on top of the earth bank. The Applicant has also reviewed the engineering design of the Proposed Development and has committed to the anaerobic digesters – being the tallest elements – being no taller than a maximum of 20 metres. This is a reduction from the previous 26 metre maximum. The massing of the buildings has also been reduced. A further review of the landscape design following Phase Three Consultation, and responses from technical stakeholders, creates a design that aligns with the planting and shape of existing vegetation in order fit into the local landscape character.</p> <p>Further information is available in Application Document Reference 5.2.15: ES chapter 15 Landscape and visual amenity.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020113; AW020145; AW020147; AW020162; AW020224; AW020227; AW020234; AW020237; AW020244; AW020303; AW020307; AW020314; AW020323; AW020327; AW020336; AW020337; AW020339; AW020343; AW020350; AW020351; AW020353; AW020408; AW020409; AW0204106; AW0204108; AW0204110; AW0204116; AW0204118; AW0204123; AW0204124; AW020413; AW020418; AW020420; AW020421; AW020422; AW020423; AW020425; AW020427; AW020428; AW020429; AW020430; AW020431; AW020432; AW020434; AW020440; AW020444; AW020446; AW020448; AW020450; AW020451; AW020454; AW020461; AW020466; AW020470; AW020471; AW020473; AW020476; AW020477; AW020479; AW020481; AW020486; AW020493; AW020495; AW020496; AW020499; AW020501; AW020502; AW020503; AW020506; AW020507; AW020508; AW020509; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020513; AW020514; AW020515; AW020517; AW020520; AW020501; AW020502; AW020504; AW020506; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020013; AWCPM020021; AWCPM020046; AWCPM020060; AWCPM020077; AWCPM020094; AWCPM020097; AWCPM020102; AWCPM020111; AWCPM020115; AWCPM020119; AWCPM020130; AWCPM020135; AWCPM020137; AWCPM020144; AWCPM020152; AWCPM020162; AWCPM020171; AWCPM020183; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020226; AWCPM020228; AWCP020031; AWCP020048; AWCP020054; AWCP020068; AWCP020069; AWCP020090; AWCP020097; AWCP020108; AWCP020112; AWCP020116; AWCP020133; AWCP020140; AWCP020147; AWCP020152; AWCP020169; AWCP020217; AWCP020223; AWCP020233; AWCP020235; AWCP020246; AWCP020272; AWCP020276; AWCP020303; AWCP020304; AWCP020321; AWCP020334; AWCP020337; AWCP020339; AWCP020376; AWCP020393; AWCP020396; AWCP020419; AWCP020425; AWCP020427; AWCP020437; AW020530	Respondents expressed concern regarding the height of the plant and digesters. They contend that these should be either lowered or buried.	Yes	The Applicant recognises that the tallest structures of the facility are a significant concern to local communities. The Applicant has therefore reviewed the engineering design, which was previously indicating a maximum height of 26 metres may be required, and can confirm that the anaerobic digesters will be no taller than a maximum of 20 metres. (For further information see Application Document Reference 4.9 Design Plans - Proposed Waste Water Treatment Plant)
AW0204105; AW0204106; AW0204108; AW0204110; AW0204111; AW0204112; AW0204115; AW0204116; AW0204118; AW020420; AW020421; AW020422; AW020423; AW020425; AW020427; AW020428; AW020429; AW020431; AW020433; AW020435; AW020438; AW020440; AW020441; AW020444; AW020446; AW020448; AW020451; AW020453; AW020455; AW020456; AW020468; AW020482; AW020492; AW020496; AW020501; AW020509; AW020514; AW020517; AW020521; AW020501; AW020504; AW020507; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020006; AWCPM020098; AWCPM020107; AWCPM020153; AWCPM020185; AWCP020050; AWCP020108; AWCP020116; AWCP020169; AWCP020209; AWCP020228	Respondents stated that the National Trust should be left to develop this area as part of the Wicken Fen vision.	No	The Applicant is continuing to consult with Natural England, the Wildlife Trust, the National Trust and local community groups to develop ecological proposals and detailed mitigation measures through ongoing Technical Working Groups (TWGs) and as part of the EIA. With regard to the Wicken Fen vision, as it anticipates the area of development would contain grassland - the Applicant has sought to incorporate grassland in the project design although acknowledging that some elements of the woodland screening would not be fully compatible with the vision. Further information is available in Application Document Reference 5.2.15 ES Chapter 15: Landscape and visual amenity.

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020145;AW020146; AW020157; AW020174; AW020177; AW020214; AW020217; AW020218; AW020223; AW020226; AW020229; AW020243; AW020254; AW020255; AW020263; AW020315; AW020320; AW020325; AW020327; AW020336; AW020340; AW020342; AW020350; AW020351; AW020353; AW020354; AW0204112; AW020418; AW020422; AW020423; AW020424; AW020425; AW020428; AW020435; AW020438; AW020452; AW020462; AW020470; AW020471; AW020474; AW020480; AW020486; AW020505; AW020508; AW020509; AW020513; AW020515; AW020519; AW020520; AW020521; AW020503; AW020505; AW020506; AWCPM020006; AWCPM020013; AWCPM020021; AWCPM020041; AWCPM020047; AWCPM020050; AWCPM020065; AWCPM020072; AWCPM020094; AWCPM020097; AWCPM020211; AWCP020009; AWCP020013; AWCP020016; AWCP020046; AWCP020054; AWCP020068; AWCP020071; AWCP020085; AWCP020097; AWCP020108; AWCP020112; AWCP020116; AWCP020143; AWCP020152; AWCP020156; AWCP020217; AWCP020226; AWCP020241; AWCP020244; AWCP020295; AWCP020303; AWCP020321; AWCP020321; AWCP020334; AWCP020343; AWCP020395; AWCP020403; AWCP020413; AWCP020419; AWCP020427; AWCP020428	Respondents emphasised the need for mature organic planting to be used to screen the site.	No	<p>The Applicant has confirmed that there is an addition of larger trees to the early planting and woodland edges, instead of just whips and transplants. The new mitigation measures work to reduce impacts as far as possible within this landscape, through a combination of visual screening from the earthwork and over time the vegetation and a balance of openness and woodland blocks and belts. These changes remove the need for further off-site mitigation planting.</p> <p>Further information is available in Application Document Reference 5.2.15 ES Chapter 15: Landscape and visual amenity.</p>

Table 1-10 Noise and Vibration

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020139;AW020149; AW020157; AW020173; AW020176; AW020183; AW020207; AW020213; AW020227; AW020238; AW020245; AW020258; AW020306; AW020313; AW020315; AW020321; AW020322; AW020327; AW020329; AW020348; AW020350; AW020351; AW020408; AW020408; AW0204101; AW0204105; AW0204111; AW0204114; AW0204119; AW0204121; AW0204124; AW020413; AW020415; AW020425; AW020428; AW020430; AW020433; AW020444; AW020446; AW020461; AW020466; AW020468; AW020484; AW020490; AW020491; AW020492; AW020494; AW020497; AW020499; AW020502; AW020507; AW020509; AW020515; AW020517; AW020521; AW020502; AW020509; AWCPM020002; AWCPM020003; AWCPM020069; AWCPM020070; AWCPM020082; AWCPM020107; AWCPM020109; AWCPM020113; AWCPM020121; AWCPM020122; AWCPM020124; AWCPM020129; AWCPM020139; AWCPM020140; AWCPM020152; AWCPM020156; AWCPM020170; AWCPM020195; AWCPM020225; AWCPM020225; AWCPM020254; AWCP020110; AWCP020184; AWCP020187; AWCP020217; AWCP020360; AWCP020398; AWCP020449; AW020529; AW020528; AW020153	Respondents expressed concern regarding noise pollution and vibration created both as a result of construction works and the subsequent increase in traffic volume.	No	<p>The Applicant recognises that noise and vibration during construction and operation may be a concern to local residents and other stakeholders.</p> <p>The Applicant provided information on noise and vibration when consulting on its PEIR at Phase Three consultation.</p> <p>Further information in the application is available in Application document reference 5.2.17, ES Chapter 17: Noise and Vibration.</p>

Table 1-11 Odour

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30219; AW30807; AW30502; AW30804; AW30711; AW30710; AW30605; AW30701; AW30217; AW30943; AW30950; AW30924; AW30713; AW30602; AW30066; AW30263; AW30002; AWCP0315; AWCP0455; AWCP0486; AWCP03499; AWCP03500; AWCP03505; AWCP03508; AWCP03509; AWCP03510; AWCP03513; AWCP03514; AWCP03518; AWCP03519; AWCP03523; AWCP03524; AWCP03527; AWCP03528; AWCP03529; AWCP03531; AWCP03534; AWCP03535; AWCP03536; AWCP03537; AWCP03540; AWCP03541; AWCP03542; AWCP03545; AWCP03546; AWCP03547; AWCP03548; AWCP03549; AWCP03550; AWCP03554; AWCP03558; AWCP03560; AWCP03563; AWCP03564; AWCP03566; AWCP03567; AWCP03569; AWCP03570; AWCP03571; AWCP03572; AWCP03576; AWCP03582; AWCP03583; AWCP03584; AWCP03586; AWCP03588; AWCP03588; AWCP03589; AWCP03590; AWCP03592; AWCP03593; AWCP03594; AWCP03595; AWCP03597; AWCP03598; AWCP03600; AWCP03607; AWCP03615; AWCP03636; AWCP03638; AWCP03644; AWCP03650; AWCP03651; AWCP03655; AWCP03658; AWCP03664; AWCP03696; AWCP03711; AWCP03727; AWCP03741; AWCP03746; AWCP03748; AWCP03773; AWCP03774; AWCP03791; AWCP03800; AWCP03801; AWCP03802; AWCP03805; AWCP03821; AWCP03828; AWCP03830; AWCP03831; AWCP03841; AWCP03911; AWCP031033; AWCP031044; AW31011; AW31015; AW31016; AW31018; AW31019; AW31020; AW31021; AW31023; AW31027; AW31028; AW31030; AW31031; AW31033; AW31036; AW31037; AW31040; AW31041; AW31042; AW31043; AW30109; AW30129; AW30132; AW30210; AWCP03543	<p>Respondents are concerned about odour generated by the new site and the impact this will have on the local community. They ask for further data and odour modelling, including for the data to be independently verified. They also suggest further mitigation measures such as tree planting to absorb the smell and ask for compensation where odour levels exceed those promised. Some respondents requested that natural mitigation measures be more prevalent such as organic planting.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant has confirmed that they are committed to deliver the lowest ‘negligible’ odour levels for existing high sensitivity receptors (people’s homes and public rights of way) in line with the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance. Odour modelling is set out in accordance with IAQM, this includes extending the odour modelling data set to include the last 5 years’ weather data and continuing to assess the worst-case scenario. The Applicant considers that an independent verification is not required, it has been done in accordance with the IAQM guidance, the assessment has been through the Applicant’s consultants and the Applicant’s own internal quality review process. The Applicant has confirmed that the operation of the Proposed Development will require an environmental permit, which is issued and regulated by the Environment Agency. The environmental permit for the Proposed Development will require the operator to have a written environmental management system (EMS), which includes a set of plans and procedures describing measures to avoid, reduce and eliminate potential environmental impacts associated with the activities covered by the permit. This includes an Odour Management Plan which details how site operations are to be managed to minimise odour impacts. This Plan will be a ‘living document’ with regular updates, which outlines operational odour management, monitoring and reporting measures. It will also include controls to be implemented in the event of an incident such as a spillage and outline how to record odour events and respond to complaints. Under the environmental permit, the operator will continually monitor treatment performance, prevent and respond to any on-site issues at the earliest opportunity. The design of the project is focused upon natural measures, including planting, for mitigation.</p> <p>The Applicant also confirmed that following Phase Three consultation there have been further design developments to mitigate odour, including there now being only one filtered vent shaft.</p> <p>Further modelling information is also available in Application document reference 5.2:18, ES Chapter 18: Odour.</p>

Table 1-12 Traffic and Transport

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020102; AW020104; AW020107; AW020119; AW020121; AW020129; AW020133; AW020139; AW020144; AW020153; AW020154; AW020155; AW020156; AW020157; AW020158; AW020160; AW020162; AW020170; AW020171; AW020172; AW020175; AW020176; AW020179; AW020208; AW020212; AW020213; AW020218; AW020225; AW020227; AW020228; AW020231; AW020233; AW020234; AW020238; AW020239; AW020244; AW020247; AW020255; AW020256; AW020259; AW020261; AW020265; AW020268; AW020301; AW020305; AW020307; AW020308; AW020310; AW020311; AW020314; AW020315; AW020315; AW020319; AW020320; AW020321; AW020322; AW020325; AW020327; AW020329; AW020335; AW020339; AW020340; AW020341; AW020349; AW020350; AW020351; AW020353; AW020354; AW020408; AW0204104; AW0204106; AW0204109; AW0204110; AW0204111; AW0204114; AW0204117; AW0204119; AW020412; AW0204121; AW0204123; AW0204124; AW020413; AW020416; AW020417; AW020418; AW020420; AW020421; AW020422; AW020423; AW020425; AW020427; AW020428; AW020428; AW020429; AW020430; AW020433; AW020434; AW020440; AW020441; AW020444; AW020446; AW020448; AW020449; AW020450; AW020451; AW020453; AW020454; AW020459; AW020460; AW020461; AW020466; AW020468; AW020469; AW020470; AW020476; AW020479; AW020481; AW020485; AW020486; AW020490; AW020492; AW020493; AW020494; AW020496; AW020499; AW020502; AW020504; AW020507; AW020509; AW020509; AW020513; AW020514; AW020515; AW020517; AW020520; AW020522; AW020502; AW020504; AW020507; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020001; AWCPM020002; AWCPM020004; AWCPM020005; AWCPM020007; AWCPM020011; AWCPM020012; AWCPM020015; AWCPM020016; AWCPM020017; AWCPM020018; AWCPM020028; AWCPM020034; AWCPM020035; AWCPM020039; AWCPM020044; AWCPM020056; AWCPM020063; AWCPM020064; AWCPM020066; AWCPM020071; AWCPM020080; AWCPM020082; AWCPM020088; AWCPM020093; AWCPM020094; AWCPM020095; AWCPM020096; AWCPM020099; AWCPM020103; AWCPM020105; AWCPM020108; AWCPM020112; AWCPM020124; AWCPM020129; AWCPM020134; AWCPM020144; AWCPM020146; AWCPM020155; AWCPM020157; AWCPM020170; AWCPM020172; AWCPM020182; AWCPM020186; AWCPM020189; AWCPM020195; AWCPM020200; AWCPM020206; AWCPM020207; AWCPM020208; AWCPM020210; AWCPM020224; AWCPM020225; AWCPM020227; AWCPM020249; AWCPM020250; AWCPM020254; AWCPM020256; AWCP020055; AWCP020064; AWCP020065; AWCP020069; AWCP020072; AWCP020088; AWCP020096; AWCP020106; AWCP020121; AWCP020127; AWCP020137; AWCP020144; AWCP020148; AWCP020151; AWCP020154; AWCP020158; AWCP020162; AWCP020181; AWCP020190; AWCP020195; AWCP020203; AWCP020213; AWCP020216; AWCP020218;	<p>Respondents expressed general concern regarding additional traffic disruption in the area throughout both construction and site operation. They demand that disruption to transport in the area is minimised.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant will continue to work with the community and other stakeholders to further mitigate any impacts on the local community and the highway road network, including consideration given to pedestrians and non-motorised users.</p> <p>A draft Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) was consulted on at Phase Three consultation. The final CTMP included with the Application (Application document reference 5.4.19.7 CTMP)</p> <p>This sets out details for mitigating construction impact, including the following proposed mitigation measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring and enforcement of construction traffic routing including use of Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) equipment during construction • Avoiding peak hours for HGVs where possible • Construction traffic will not be permitted to travel through Horningsea or Fen Ditton villages • Having a logistics manager in place to manage HGV deliveries in order to minimise the impact on the transport network • Having a Traffic Management Plan that will be created in consultation with a community liaison group • Having a reporting process set up as part of the monitoring and enforcement programme.

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
<p>AWCP020229; AWCP020236; AWCP020241; AWCP020245; AWCP020250; AWCP020261; AWCP020263; AWCP020268; AWCP020280; AWCP020291; AWCP020310; AWCP020316; AWCP020322; AWCP020342; AWCP020346; AWCP020351; AWCP020360; AWCP020361; AWCP020366; AWCP020407; AWCP020409; AWCP020421; AWCP020441; AWCP020444; AWCP020449; AW020524;</p>	<p>Respondents felt that additional traffic and the prevalence of HGVs created additional danger and disruption for cyclists, pedestrians and equestrians due to additional traffic.</p>	No	<p>The Applicant consulted on three construction and operational traffic access options for the Proposed Development at Phase Two consultation. The feedback received during Phase Two consultation demonstrated what an important issue the chosen permanent access option for the new facility is for many people, particularly regarding road safety and the volume of HGVs using the road network.</p> <p>There was an overwhelming preference from the community in response to the Phase Two consultation for 'Option 3', a new junction on the north side of the A14. However, the Applicant has had regard also to the feedback from statutory consultees including the Highways Authorities. National Highways and Cambridgeshire County Council confirmed that allowing access directly from the A14 would be contrary to Department for Transport policy.</p> <p>The Applicant's wider technical appraisal showed a viable alternative to Option 3 in Option 1. It was a difficult decision for the Applicant to make knowing the community's clear preference for Option 3. However, when considering the analysis alongside stakeholder advice that a new junction to allow access directly from the A14 would only be acceptable where there were no viable alternatives, the Applicant selected Option 1: access off Junction 34 (Fen Ditton). Traffic impacts for this option were assessed and presented in the PEIR, consulted on at Phase Three consultation.</p> <p>A CWG meeting on traffic options on 13 December 2021, described, in detail, the process that had been gone through to reach a decision on traffic access. Option 1 had Two options A and B. Following further consultation with the community, Option B was preferred, as it provided for vehicles coming directly off the junction into the new access road. Therefore, Option 1B was chosen.</p> <p>The traffic and transport chapter (Application document reference. 5.2.19. Environmental Statement, Chapter 19: Traffic and Transport) describes the mitigation measures designed to minimise vehicle movements and control vehicle movements coinciding with morning and afternoon peaks, and the measures put in place to stop vehicles travelling through villages. Measures to control traffic are set out within the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) and Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP).</p> <p>The Applicant has considered the comments and construction traffic and operational Transport Assessments for the Junction 34 have included all construction routing and traffic flows during the construction period and</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
			<p>have assessed the potential conflict between HGV's and NMU's. Mitigation sets out plans for Junction 34, Waterbeach, Horningsea Road, Fen Road and Cowley Road. This includes but is not limited to the use of clear signage routing vehicles to appropriate routes, avoid peak hour and school pick up and drop off and appropriate speed restrictions.</p>
<p>AW020104; AWCPM020035; AWCPM020114; AWCPM020157; AWCPM020180; AWCPM020222; AWCPM020227; AWCP020010; AWCP020018; AWCP020407; AWCP020441</p>	<p>Respondents were doubtful that traffic proposals would create any benefit unless the existing road infrastructure was improved.</p>		<p>The Applicant has carried out a detailed assessment access options, which evidences that Option 1b provides the optimum access route. Chapter 3 (Alternatives) in the ES (application document reference 5.2.3) describes the alternatives considered and assessment of each access option. Please see Application Document Reference 5.2.19, ES Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, and Application Document Reference: 5.2.3 Alternatives.</p>
<p>AW020121; AW020146; AW020145; AW020325; AWCPM020029; AWCPM020035; AWCPM020062; AWCPM020104; AWCPM020161; AWCPM020172; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020220; AWCP020011; AWCP020109; AWCP020148; AWCP020417</p>	<p>Respondents recommend building a new junction before the majority of the construction work to minimise the need to obstruct Horningsea Road and Junction 34.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Applicant has committed to building an access road off Low Fen Drove Way for an initial four-month construction period, during which enabling activities including the construction of the permanent access would take place, this approach would mean that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Construction traffic will not travel northwards on Horningsea Road from junction 34. • Construction traffic accessing the site from the A14 will proceed straight across Horningsea Road under signal control • Low Fen Drove Way will not need to be crossed by construction traffic, avoiding impacts on ecology and recreational users of the byway. <p>See Application document reference. 5.2.19 ES, Chapter 19: Traffic and Transport.</p>
<p>AW020201; AW020262; AW020327</p>	<p>Respondents conveyed concern surrounding the prevalence of construction traffic during un-social hours and the potential disruption this may cause.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant has worked with the National and Local Highways Authorities on the design to mitigate traffic impacts, this includes preventing vehicles using the village roads.</p> <p>Vehicle movements will only occur in accordance with the working hours which are defined within the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP). Section 3 of the CoCP (Community Consultation and Engagement, Application Document Reference: 5.4.2.1: COCP) requires a proactive approach to communication with the local community and stakeholders</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020262; AW020301; AW020330; AW020351; AW020403; AW0204106; AW0204118; AW0204120; AW020425; AW020426; AW020507; AW020513; AW020509; AWCPM020004; AWCPM020005; AWCPM020014; AWCPM020015; AWCPM020017; AWCPM020044; AWCPM020067; AWCPM020096; AWCPM020100; AWCPM020104; AWCPM020108; AWCPM020113; AWCPM020114; AWCPM020124; AWCPM020131; AWCPM020206; AWCPM020210; AWCPM020227; AWCP020025; AWCP020028; AWCP020043; AWCP020064; AWCP020069; AWCP020096; AWCP020181; AWCP020195; AWCP020229; AWCP020280; AWCP020284; AWCP020310; AWCP020316; AWCP020317; AWCP020338; AWCP020342; AWCP020372; AWCP020404; AWCP020407; AWCP020436; AWCP020441	Respondents argued that HGV traffic should not travel through villages or residential areas due to narrow country lanes which are unsuitable for heavy traffic.	Yes	<p>The Applicant is working with National and Local Highways Authority to design mitigation that prevents traffic from taking shortcuts. HGVs are GPS enabled and monitored and the Applicant will be notified if they do go down a prohibited road. There will also be a geofence in the GPS system to prevent vehicles going down these areas. The existing junction off the A14 will be reconfigured into a four way junction, preventing vehicles leaving the site to turn right into Horningsea</p> <p>The Applicant will engage the community during construction, further details will be provided in the Community Liaison Plan (Application Document Reference 7.8).</p>
AW020313; AW020498	Some respondents stated that Railways should be utilised instead of Road traffic for the transport of construction materials.	No	The applicant has noted this response
AW0204104; AW0204109; AW0204112; AW0204127; AW020430; AW020451; AW020473; AW020485; AW020493; AW020495; AW020496; AW020496; AW020504; AW020513; AW020514; AW020521; AWCPM020001; AWCPM020029; AWCPM020030; AWCPM020093; AWCPM020094; AWCPM020095; AWCPM020161; AWCPM020218; AWCPM020220; AWCP020122	Respondents communicated specific concern regarding the danger of slip road tailbacks at Junction 34 on the A14 and Milton roundabout.	No	The Traffic and Transport Chapter in the Environmental Statement sets out the modelling of traffic, including modelling of the junction. This has shown that the junction has capacity and would not lead to significant effects on driver delay. Furthermore, there will be a requirement to implement a CTMP which, amongst other things, specifies that that all deliveries will be made outside of peak hours (8am-9am and 3-4pm) unless it is determined to be essential that the delivery is to be completed during peak hours and requires that the scheduling of vehicle movements adheres to works hours.
AW020416; AW020424; AW020497	Respondents conveyed concern surrounding the prevalence of construction traffic during peak hours and the potential disruption this may cause.	No	The Traffic and Transport Chapter in the Environmental Statement sets out the modelling of traffic, including modelling of the junction. This has shown that the junction has capacity and would not lead to significant effects on driver delay. Furthermore, there will be a requirement to implement a CTMP which, amongst other things, specifies that that all deliveries will be made outside of peak hours (8am-9am and 3-4pm) unless it is determined to be essential that the delivery is to be completed during peak hours and requires that the scheduling of vehicle movements adheres to works hours. Please see Application Document Reference 5.2.19 ES, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCPM020133; AWCPM020172; AWCPM020182; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020208; AWCPM020219; AWCPM020223; AWCPM020232; AWCPM020235; AWCP020063; AWCP020080; AWCP020086; AWCP020110; AWCP020122; AWCP020134; AWCP020148; AWCP020165; AWCP020181; AWCP020198; AWCP020229; AWCP020236; AWCP020240; AWCP020241; AWCP020247; AWCP020263; AWCP020273; AWCP020275; AWCP020280; AWCP020292; AWCP020308; AWCP020328; AWCP020373; AWCP020377; AWCP020385; AWCP020386; AWCP020387; AWCP020388; AWCP020398; AWCP020417; AWCP020421; AWCP020436; AWCP020437; AWCP020440; AWCP020441; AWCP020444; AW020524; AW020525; AW020526; AW020528	<p>Respondents were confident that dedicated and direct access from the A14 for construction traffic to the site is the only safe and viable option.</p>	No	<p>In addition to a traffic assessment, the Applicant has undertaken a detailed, wider appraisal assessing the options against 22 different criteria. The Applicant carefully considered all consultation responses from the local community and other stakeholders, including the relevant highways authorities.</p> <p>The wider appraisal has shown there is a viable alternative to option 3 in option 1 and the Applicant has not been able to evidence a need for a new junction off the A14. The Applicant understands the community's clear preference for Option 3, and acknowledges that this option would keep traffic off the local road network. However, when considering the analysis alongside National Highways' advice that a new junction to allow access directly from the A14 would only be acceptable to them where there were no viable alternatives, the Applicant did not consider that a need for Option 3 could be evidenced.</p> <p>Therefore, the Applicant will be progressing the proposals based on Option 1: access off Junction 34 (Fen Ditton). The Applicant assessed traffic impacts further as part of the detailed Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Transport Assessment. These were available in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) consulted on at Phase Three consultation.</p> <p>The traffic and transport chapter (Application document reference. 5.2. Environmental Statement, Chapter 19: Traffic and Transport) describes the mitigation measures designed to minimise vehicle movements and control vehicle movements coinciding with morning and afternoon peaks, and the measures put in place to stop vehicles travelling through villages. Measures to control traffic are set out within the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) and Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP).</p>
AWCP020420	<p>Respondent expressed concern that planting screening trees along Horningsea Road will darken the cycle path and make it more dangerous. The shadow from any planted trees will stop the solar studs from recharging, making the path harder to see for cyclists</p>	No	<p>The Applicant continued to consult with the relevant National and local Highways Authorities to create a robust Traffic Management Plan (Application document reference 5.4.19.7) which includes measures to minimise site traffic on local roads, marshalling and other traffic management during construction and measures which will link with the Greenway scheme to ensure pedestrians and cyclists are safe and protected from additional traffic movements. One of these improvements is the widening of the footway and cycleway on Horningsea Road whilst also separating the road from the path with a grass verge.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP020078; AWCP020081	Respondents mentioned concern regarding the volume of visitor traffic and commented that there should be no parking facility at the discovery centre for visitors in order to reduce traffic.	Yes	<p>The Applicant acknowledges that not all feedback received regarding the Discovery Centre was positive, therefore is seeking to evolve the design so educational opportunities can be achieved in a way that is sensitive to the immediate community's feedback.</p> <p>In doing so, the Applicant has committed to a Discovery Centre that will be appointment only. Therefore, the Discovery Centre will have a managed education programme, targeted towards scheduled opportunities for local schools and groups to learn about sustainability and the waste water treatment process. The impact of additional traffic from these visiting arrangements will therefore be minimal and accommodated within the proposed access and parking at the entrance of the facility.</p>
AWCPM020240	Respondent requested that equestrian mounting blocks be provided on either side of the A14 bridge.	No	<p>The Applicant has noted this comment. With any highways alterations/improvements will work with the relevant highway authority to ensure the safety of all non motorised users which includes equestrians. The Applicant has considered general NMU provision throughout the design of the project and incorporated additional bridleway access. Peak hour surveys do not indicate significant equestrians along Horningsea Road. As a result of discussion with technical experts within the Traffic and Access TWG and PROW TWG, and following further internal assessment, it is not agreed that the Horningsea over bridge is suitable for the inclusion of equestrians.</p>

Table 1-13 Water Resources

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020118; AW020122, AW020155; AW020157; AW020173; AW020207; AW020243; AW020258; AW020264; AW020265; AW020267; AW020310; AW020320; AW020327; AW020332; AW020337; AW020354; AW020403; AW020438; AW020465; AW020476; AW020478; AWCPM020014; AWCPM020109; AWCPM020122; AWCPM020136; AWCPM020158; AWCPM020196; AWCPM020214; AWCPM020231; AWCP020060; AWCP020262; AWCP020311; AWCP020422; AWCP020440; AW020529	Respondents expressed general concern regarding the potential flood risk and commented on the impact this will have on house insurance for surrounding areas. They highlighted the need for sufficient mitigation measures such as overflow fields adjacent to the site.	No	<p>The new facility is being designed to treat the waste water of Greater Cambridge, prevent flooding by managing storm flows and serve the environment. This includes taking account of a growing population and climate change.</p> <p>The Applicant is also working with the Environment Agency to finalise the storm management proposals for the new facility. These proposals include extensive catchment and river modelling work, further details were made available in the statutory second phase of consultation. A full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) considering flooding, contamination and mitigation has been with the relevant Internal Drainage Board, Lead Local Flood Authority, and the Environment Agency.</p> <p>Further information is available in Document Reference Volume 5.2: Environmental Statement - Technical Chapters, Chapter 9: Climate resilience.</p>
AW020147; AW020149; AW020223; AW020227; AW020230; AW020260; AW020267; AW020310; AW020320; AW020332; AW020337; AW020346; AW020403; AW0204110; AW020412; AW0204121; AW020438; AW020445; AW020468; AW020481; AW020490; AW020503; AW020504; AW020503; AW020504; AW020506; AW020509; AWCPM020061; AWCPM020075; AWCPM020083; AWCPM020120; AWCPM020128; AWCPM020152; AWCPM020156; AWCPM020158; AWCPM020169; AWCPM020184; AWCPM020196; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020231; AWCPM020246; AWCP020088; AWCP020147; AWCP020237; AWCP020384; AWCP020411; AWCP020440; AW020528; AW020530; AW020118; AW020122; AW020211; AWCPM020214; AW020106;	Respondents were concerned about potential water run-off and pollution of local waterways and the impact that this will have on general water quality. They expressed particular distress surrounding groundwater contamination since the site is under lain by the lowermost stratum of the chalk aquifer.	No	<p>The Applicant understands the critical importance of hydrology, groundwater and protecting the underlying aquifer.</p> <p>A full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) considering flooding, contamination and mitigation has been consulted on with the relevant Internal Drainage Board, Lead Local Flood Authority, and the Environment Agency.</p> <p>Further information is available in Document Reference Volume 5.2: Environmental Statement - Technical Chapters, Chapter 9: Climate resilience.</p>
AW020177; AW020207; AW020320	Respondents suggested that rain water harvesting should be considered to reduce the environmental impact of the site.	No	The Applicant notes this comment and confirms that rain water will be harvested at the Gateway Building.

Table 1-14 Cumulative Effects

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020103; AW020259; AW020431; AW020499; AWCPM020117	Respondents expressed concern regarding the number of projects developing in the surrounding area.	No	<p>The relocation will enable South Cambridgeshire District Council and Cambridge City Council’s long held ambition to develop a new low-carbon city district on Cambridge’s last major brownfield site, known as North East Cambridge. The site is an important component of the First Proposals (preferred options) for the new Greater Cambridge Local Plan that were subject to public consultation in late 2021. The North East Cambridge Area Action Plan has also recently been agreed by the Councils in its Proposed Submission form and will be subject to public consultation prior to submission, once the Development Consent Order is determined. The relocation of the existing waste water treatment facility will enable this new district to come forward and deliver 8,350 homes, 15,000 new jobs and a wide range of community, cultural and open space facilities in North East Cambridge.</p> <p>The scale of the essential infrastructure needed to ensure the long-term resilience of waste water treatment capacity in Greater Cambridge inevitably means impacts will be felt. The Applicant recognises this and are sensitive to the community’s concerns and questions about this.</p>
AW020146; AW020148, AW020112; AW020183	Respondents emphasised the need for this development to align and be in accordance with other local proposals.	No	<p>The Applicant has assessed the cumulative effects of other schemes in the surrounding area, as set out in Application document reference 5.2.21, ES Chapter 21: Cumulative Effects.</p> <p>The Applicant has consulted and engaged extensively with other local initiatives such as the Cambridge Nature Network and the Wicken Fen vision.</p> <p>The Applicant will also work with other developments in the area, including those proposed for Cambridge Airport and in the North East Cambridge Area Action Plan.</p> <p>The Applicant also confirms that the Proposed Development aligns with the delivery of the Greater Cambridge Greenways project.</p>

Table 1-15 Other and/or General Comments Received

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW020101; AW020157; AW020167; AW020233; AW020255; AW020503; AWCPM020025; AWCPM020246; AWCP020188; AW020123	Respondents expressed concern regarding the impact that the cost of the development of this WWTP would have on bills. Some respondents requested that water bills should be reduced for surrounding residents as a form of compensation.	No	The Applicant is confident with the cost estimates. A funding statement is provided as part of the DCO Application (Application document reference. 3.2) which demonstrates that the project can be fully funded and its commitments can be delivered.
AW020102; AWCPM020117	Respondents raised concern that the site has the potential to enlarge in future and create additional disruption.	No	The Applicant acknowledges that there will likely be a need to increase the capacity of the facility in the future years and therefore there will be a phased approach. The first phase, which is funded by the Homes England Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) grant, will deliver the replacement for the existing Cambridge facility, providing treatment of flows equivalent to a population of 275,000, enough to meet growth to the mid-2030s. The growth of Cambridge towards the end of the local plan period (2041) may mean the Applicant needs to increase the capacity of the plant to treat flows equivalent to a population of 300,000, however this will all take place within the existing footprint of the new facility.
AW020106	Respondent raised a question asking what anaerobic digesters are.	No	Anaerobic digesters are used as part of the process to treat biodegradable waste and sewage sludge as part of the integrated waste management system
AW020144; AW020166; AW020167; AW020179; AW020213; AW020214; AW020218; AW020222; AW020227; AW020238; AW020256; AW020261; AW020303; AW020304; AW020305; AW020311; AW020312; AW020314; AW020325; AW020339; AW020349; AW020409; AW0204101; AW0204104; AW0204109; AW0204110; AW0204112; AW0204116; AW0204119; AW020413; AW020421; AW020422; AW020423; AW020425; AW020427; AW020428; AW020431; AW020432; AW020433; AW020437; AW020438; AW020440; AW020448; AW020449; AW020450; AW020451; AW020453; AW020454; AW020459; AW020466; AW020470; AW020477; AW020484; AW020494; AW020495; AW020499; AW020502; AW020504; AW020521; AW020503; AW020504; AW020508; AW020509; AWCPM020089; AWCPM020103; AWCPM020114; AW020107; AWCP020026; AWCP020029; AWCP020031; AWCP020052; AWCP020061; AWCP020065; AWCP020067; AWCP020076; AWCP020135; AWCP020140; AWCP020153; AWCP020171;	Respondents expressed general concern regarding the cost of project. Many of these referred to the development as an unnecessary taxpayer expenditure.	No	<p>The Applicant acknowledges that the relocation project is being funded through the Government's Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF). However, in doing so the relocation project will:</p> <p>Allow the existing site to be redeveloped, delivering around 5,600 of the 8,350 much-needed new homes in North East Cambridge, including around 40 per cent affordable housing (rented and shared ownership).</p> <p>Enable the vision of an inclusive, walkable, low-carbon new city district with a lively mix of homes, workplaces, services and social spaces with good connectivity, that are fully integrated with surrounding communities.</p> <p>Enable improvements to walking, cycling and public transport connectivity, helping to address climate change through reducing car use.</p> <p>Create new parks and open spaces that will form an accessible green space network with a wide range of plants and wildlife, linked with parks in the wider area.</p> <p>Reduce pressure for housing development in greenfield locations, where it would take up far more land and be less sustainable in terms of transport emissions. A low-carbon city district can achieve higher densities of housing than housing developed on greenfield or Green Belt sites and achieve a higher proportion of non-car journeys.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP020176; AWCP020188; AWCP020208; AWCP020214; AWCP020219; AWCP020255; AWCP020260; AWCP020287; AWCP020327; AWCP020328; AWCP020338; AWCP020353; AWCP020369; AWCP020378; AWCP020380; AWCP020383; AWCP020385; AW020528			
AW020145; AW020157; AW020166; AW020176; AW0204113; AW0204114; AW0204118; AW0204119; AW0204123; AW0204127; AW020420; AW020116; AW020423; AW020425; AW020426; AW020427; AW020428; AW020429; AW020432; AW020435; AW020438; AW020441; AW020444; AW020448; AW020453; AW020455; AW020459; AW020460; AW020461; AW020470; AW020471; AW020477; AW020483; AW020484; AW020486; AW020491; AW020498; AW020503; AW020504; AW020505; AW020508; AW020510; AW02051; AW020512; AW020516; AW020519; AW020521; AW020502; AW020503; AW020504; AW020505; AW020506; AW020507; AW020508; AWCPM020010; AWCPM020022; AWCPM020024; AWCPM020043; AWCPM020087; AWCPM020089; AWCPM020139; AWCPM020143; AWCPM020159; AWCPM020183; AWCPM020184; AWCPM020197; AWCPM020233; AWCP020026; AWCP020031; AWCP020073; AWCP020074; AWCP020076; AWCP020077; AWCP020083; AWCP020087; AWCP020102; AWCP020128; AWCP020153; AWCP020171; AWCP020177; AWCP020181; AWCP020208; AWCP020211; AWCP020213; AWCP020219; AWCP020233; AWCP020234; AWCP020244; AWCP020246; AWCP020247; AWCP020251; AWCP020254; AWCP020259; AWCP020279; AWCP020287; AWCP020298; AWCP020299; AWCP020301; AWCP020305; AWCP020323; AWCP020327;	<p>Respondents iterated that they do not agree with site relocation since there is no operational need to move the WWTP.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant acknowledges that there is no operational need to move the facility.</p> <p>The relocation will enable South Cambridgeshire District Council and Cambridge City Council’s long held ambition to develop a new low-carbon city district on Cambridge’s last major brownfield site, known as North East Cambridge. The site is an important component of the First Proposals (preferred options) for the new Greater Cambridge Local Plan that were subject to public consultation in late 2021. The North East Cambridge Area Action Plan has also recently been agreed by the Councils in its Proposed Submission form and will be subject to public consultation prior to submission, once the Development Consent Order is determined. The relocation of the existing waste water treatment facility will enable this new district to come forward and deliver 8,350 homes, 15,000 new jobs and a wide range of community, cultural and open space facilities in North East Cambridge.</p> <p>The scale of the essential infrastructure needed to ensure the long-term resilience of waste water treatment capacity in Greater Cambridge inevitably means impacts will be felt. The Applicant recognises this and are sensitive to the community’s concerns and questions about this.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP020328; AWCP020397; AWCP020438; AW020525; AW020526; AW020528			
AW020163; AW020174	Respondents expressed approval of the proposed development site.	No	The Applicant has noted this comment.
AW020171; AW020304	Respondents requested that the community be updated on project progress and milestone dates.	No	The Applicant will produce a Community Liaison Plan and appoint a Community Liaison Officer to ensure that the community is communicated with effectively throughout the construction process.
AW0204100	Respondent questioned how the site will deal with high winds as storm damage is inevitable.	No	The site has been designed to ensure that it is resilient to weather, as well as being resilient to future changes in climate.
AWCPM020023; AW020101	Respondents have requested to know: What the sale value is for the Cowley Road site. What money of their own they will be putting into this new scheme. Should there be limited investment from Anglian Water then What justification do they have taking tax payers money, and over what period will they repay this money?	No	The Applicant acknowledges that the relocation project is being funded through the Government's Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF) and assures bill payers that their prices will not increase as a direct result of the Development.
AWCPM020025; AWCPM020138; AWCP020087	Respondents requested compensation for damage to local businesses including the campsite at Gayton Farm, The Plough & Fleece, Scotsdale's, Quy Mill	No	The Applicant acknowledges this feedback but anticipates that there will not be an impact on businesses due to the distance from the Proposed Development. The Applicant has also been working hard to both mitigate the visual impacts of the facility whilst proposing biodiversity and access improvements to the wider site area.
AWCPM020234	Respondent commented that a bridleway has been proposed on land that AW does not own.	No	The Applicant has confirmed that they have engaged with relevant landowners over proposed land that will be required for the Proposed Development.
AW020104; AW020233; AW020425; AW020438; AW020503; AWCPM020025	Respondents were concerned regarding the effect that this development will have on house prices in the surrounding area.	No	The Applicant acknowledges this feedback but anticipates that there will not be an impact on house prices due to the distance from residential properties. The Applicant has also been working hard to both mitigate the visual impacts of the facility whilst proposing biodiversity and access improvements to the wider site area.

2 Phase Three Consultation (statutory phase two)

Table 2-1 Agricultural land and soil

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30960; AW30036; AWCP03682; AWCP03706; AWCP03714; AW31032	Respondents have expressed concern over the loss of arable farming land as a result of the relocation project and any cumulative impacts this may have on food production.	No	The Applicant notes this comment and confirms that the loss of food production due to land take would not be part of the Metric 3.0 (developed by Natural England) used for the calculation of BNG (Application document reference No 5.2.8 ES, Chapter 8: Biodiversity), further information is available in Application document reference No 5.2.6: Agricultural land and soils.

Table 2-2 Air Quality

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30502; AW30803; AW30109; AW30503; AW30709; AW30912; AW30924; AW30905; AWCP0318; AWCP0320; AWCP0321; AWCP0322; AWCP0327; AWCP0347; AWCP0350; AWCP03427; AWCP03512; AWCP03515; AWCP03595; AWCP03605; AWCP03661; AWCP03663; AWCP03665; AWCP03666; AWCP03675; AWCP03703; AWCP03798; AWCP03801; AWCP03854; AWCP031152; AW31015; AW31020; AW31036; AW31041; AW30109; AWCP0337; AWCP0360; AWCP0365; AWCP0366; AWCP03652; AW30132; AWCP03810; AW30924; AWCP03656	<p>Respondents expressed concern over the impact that the relocation project will have on air pollution. Specifically, the impact of construction and operational traffic on the health of the potentially impacted population.</p> <p>Respondents were additionally concerned about the presence of dust. Respondents highlighted that vulnerable groups are more affected by changes to the environment.</p> <p>These include children with autism or asthma and adults with COPD.</p>	No	<p>Regarding the impact of traffic on air quality, the Applicant confirms that the vehicle movements from the existing facility will be redistributed to the proposed facility and would not result in an increase from the vehicle movements currently associated with operational activities. The assessment considers future growth in vehicle movements, these are less than 10%. In addition, there would be progressive decarbonisation of the fleet during this period. The change in vehicle movements and associated emissions is negligible. Further details are available in the Application, with alternatives being considered in Chapter 3 and construction and operation traffic is assessed in Chapter 19 and its associated appendices.</p> <p>The mitigation relating to construction traffic movements is found in the Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) and further mitigations relating to other elements such as dust management are available in the Code of Construction Practice Part A.</p> <p>Further information is available in Document Reference Volume 5.2: Environmental Statement - Technical Chapters, Chapter 12: Health</p> <p>The Applicant's proposals for vibration, dust and pollution management will be set out in the Code of Construction Practice, Document Ref: 5.4.2.1. Furthermore, throughout the construction of the Proposed Development there will be a dedicated Community Liaison Officer through which any concerns can be raised.</p>

Table 2-3 Biodiversity

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30504; AW30133; AW30202; AW30707; AW30506; AW30606; AW30122; AW30103; AW30111; AW30508; AW30604; AW30127; AW30109; AW30704; AW30411; AW30055; AWCP0391; AWCP0400; AWCP0408; AWCP0488; AW31033; AW31039; AW30109; AW30122; AW30217; AW30408; AW30712; AW31007; AW30929; AW30957; AWCP0399; AWCP0432; AWCP0456; AWCP0458; AWCP0460; AWCP03639; AW31028; AW31036; AW31040; AW30220;	Respondents expressed a desire for new planting and rewilding to take place in the site area and expected to see a minimum 20% real increase in biodiversity. Some respondents specifically requested that rewilding should be pursued. Respondents also stated that they would expect this to be maintained and monitored in the future.	Yes	The Applicant is committed to the delivery of a minimum of 20% Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) on the site of the proposed Waste Water Treatment Plant. The Applicant has committed to delivering this through the creation of new woodland and grassland habitats and improved and replaced hedgerows. Further information is available in the Application document reference Volume 5.2.8: ES Chapter: Biodiversity and Application document reference 5.4.8.13 Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Report.
AW30301; AW30205; AW30506; AW30109; AW30929; AW31009; AW30937; AW30915; AW30933; AW31003; AW30942; AW30931; AW30002; AW30065; AWCP0391; AWCP0419; AWCP0427; AWCP0433; AWCP0449; AWCP0460; AWCP0464; AWCP0488; AWCP03639; AWCP03641; AWCP03698; AWCP03726; AWCP03749; AWCP03768; AWCP03822; AWCP03826; AWCP03840; AWCP03845; AWCP03846; AWCP031117; AWCP031157; AWCP031161; AW31012; AW31023; AW31029; AW31031; AW31033; AW31039; AW31041; AWCP0446; AW30122	Respondents expressed concern that the construction and operation of the new facility would have a negative impact on ecology and bio-diversity. Some respondents have asked for detail regarding the provisions which will be made for endangered birds during construction and operation of the new facility including nesting skylarks which are a red listed bird.	No	The Applicant is committed to the delivery of a minimum of 20% Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) on the site of the proposed Waste Water Treatment Plant. Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.8: Environmental Statement Chapter 8 Biodiversity and Application document reference 5.4.8.13: Biodiversity Net Gain (BNG) Report. The baseline data is provided in the appendices to Environmental Statement chapter 8. The Applicant has continued to engage with a range of officers from local authorities (Ecology, Landscape, Greenways) as well as stakeholders such as National Trust, Wildlife Trust and RSPB. The Applicant has also engaged with other developers in the area in order to maximise opportunities. This engagement will continue during the construction and operation of the facility. Mitigation measures regarding species in the area is outlined in the CoCP, LERMP and CEMP (Application document reference 5.4.2.1 CoCP Part A, Application document reference 5.4.2.2 CoCP Part B LERMP and CEMP). The Applicant will continue to engage with relevant stakeholders on delivery of these mitigation measures.
AW30409; AW30406	Some respondents have highlighted that new trees should not be imported from outside the UK in order to avoid invasive diseases which can be especially threatening to Oak and Ash.	No	The Applicant notes this comment and has set out its landscaping plans, which have been consulted on at Phase Three consultation and discussed as part of the Technical Working Groups (TWGs), in the LERMP (Application document reference 5.4.8.14).
AW30127; AW30132; AW30806	Respondents have expressed a desire for the development of wildlife water or Wetlands.	No	The Applicant has noted this comment and states that landscape proposals have been developed to include different features and wetland scrapes. These can be seen in Application document reference 5.4.8.14: LERMP

Table 2-4 Consultation

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30502; AW30810; AW30606; AW30932; AW30923; AW30915; AW30510; AW30904; AWCP0494; AWCP03731; AWCP03773; AWCP03789; AWCP03811; AWCP03821; AWCP03895; AWCP03908; AWCP03914; AWCP03923; AWCP03928; AWCP03965; AWCP03980; AWCP03997; AWCP031094; AWCP031095; AWCP031096; AWCP031097; AWCP031107; AWCP031121; AWCP031122; AWCP031138; AWCP031143; AWCP031155; AWCP031163; AWCP031164; AWCP031179; AWCP031182; AWCP031183; AW31019; AW31021; AW31023; AW31033; AW31038; AW31043; AW30109; AW30210	Respondents commented that they do not feel that feedback will be or has been sufficiently considered, engaged with or responded to.	No	<p>The Applicant has sought to undertake iterative consultation with the community which started at the site selection phase for the Proposed Development and has continued over three phases. Following each phase of community consultation, the Applicant has considered all feedback in the development of its plans.</p> <p>Following each phase of community consultation, the Applicant produced a Consultation Summary Report which summarised the feedback received to each consultation phase and how the Applicant has sought to have regard to that feedback. Copies of the Consultation Summary Reports can be found in Appendices 14, 18 and 21 of the Consultation Report (Application document reference 5.1)</p> <p>The Applicant has detailed all responses it has received to Phase Two and Three consultation and how it has had regard to the responses received in this Appendix.</p>
AW30211; AW30502; AW30810; AW30606; AW30701; AW30223; AW30712; AW30901; AW30922; AW30915; AW30957; AW30716; AW30904; AWCP03660; AWCP03662; AWCP03718; AWCP03815; AWCP03872; AWCP03879; AWCP03884; AWCP03893; AWCP03898; AWCP03906; AWCP03928; AWCP03935; AWCP03944; AWCP03993; AWCP031006; AWCP031036; AWCP031053; AWCP031055; AWCP031060; AWCP031072; AWCP031073; AWCP031077; AWCP031078; AWCP031079; AWCP031080; AWCP031081; AWCP031082; AWCP031084; AWCP031085; AWCP031086; AWCP031107; AWCP031110; AWCP031111; AWCP031123; AWCP031128; AWCP031137; AWCP031139; AWCP031140; AWCP031141; AWCP031142; AWCP031147; AWCP031148; AWCP031150; AWCP031151; AWCP031153; AWCP031154; AWCP031158; AWCP031167; AWCP031168; AWCP031169; AWCP031170; AWCP031171; AWCP031174; AWCP031176; AWCP031181; AW31023	Respondent does not feel that avenues offered for feedback were sufficient or accessible. E.g. Phone lines were closed on the weekend, limited notice was received about meetings, the website was difficult to use. They have therefore requested further consultation opportunity.	No	<p>The Applicant provide a range of consultation channels during the pre-application phase. These included:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Direct mailing of the Community Consultation Leaflet to the core consultation zone • Two rounds of community consultation events, one held during Phase Two consultation following the easing of COVID-19 restrictions, and another round held during Phase Three consultation. Where face to face events could not be held for the Phase One consultation, the Applicant held webinars. • Displaying hard copy information available across 7 CAP sites • Advertising across 25 local information points • Holding stakeholder meetings throughout the wider consultation • Using online and social media techniques: dedicated project website and Twitter account. • Creating a digital engagement platform for users to provide online feedback • Creating a Virtual Exhibition for users to view consultation material in an alternative format to the community consultation document. • Engaging with the wider Cambridgeshire media as well as regional and national media through press releases and radio and TV interviews • Making available dedicated information lines throughout the pre-application phase: • Freephone: 0808 196 1661 (The Applicant notes that a voicemail service was available throughout the consultation period) • [REDACTED] • FREEPOST: CWWTPR

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
			<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Twitter: @CambridgeWWTPR <p>The Applicant consulted on these channels when consulting on its draft Statement of Community Consultation with the relevant local planning authorities.</p> <p>Full details of the Applicants consultation is provided in the Consultation Report (application document reference 5.1).</p>
AW30604; AWCP031146; AW31023	Some respondents have requested more detailed information on the project.	No	For Phase Three consultation, the Applicant published a 48-page A4 Community Consultation Leaflet alongside its Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) to make the PEIR more accessible. The Applicant also published a Non-Technical Summary (NTS) of the PEIR alongside a range of environmental and technical information on its Virtual Exhibition, project website and digital engagement platform.
AWCP03603; AWCP03604; AWCP03606; AW31030	Respondents do not feel that the interactive map provided sufficient information.	No	The Applicant has acknowledged this feedback. The interactive map was integrated into the digital engagement platform, which allowed respondents to pin comments to a specific geographical location if they wished. This platform has been provided through a national trusted provider and was engaged with extensively by consultees across the consultation phases. More detailed maps were presented in the Community Consultation Leaflet, PEIR, Virtual Exhibition and at the in-person community consultation events.
AWCP03856; AWCP031016; AWCP031135; AWCP031173; AWCP031175	Respondents have expressed that feedback and documents should be checked for consistency and intelligibility by someone independent of the project so far. They commented that accessibility for people with disabilities should also be considered	No	The Applicant acknowledges that some of the materials are more technical in nature, and therefore provided a non-technical summary of the PEIR at the Phase Three consultation. The Applicant also hosted several face-to-face and online events where members of the community could ask questions directly to the project team and the project information lines also remained open throughout the pre-application phase. As set out in the SoCC, the Applicant committed to provide its consultation materials in alternative formats if requested.
AWCP03987; AWCP03989; AWCP031048; AWCP031051	Respondent has requested that written communication be used as opposed to digital media	No	As set out in the Applicant's SoCC, a range of communications methods was consulted on in the Applicant's draft SoCC. This included a variety of non-digital methods including directly posted copies of the Community Consultation Leaflet, Feedback Form and Consultation Summary Reports to over 10,000 local homes and businesses located in the core consultation zone. The Applicant also made available the PEIR, management plans and supporting plans and documents at its CAP sites located both within and beyond the core consultation zone. Respondents were also able to request additional hard copies of documents should they require them.

Table 2-5 Carbon

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30111; AW30712; AW30125; AW30405; AW30954; AW30943; AW30934; AW31009; AW30937; AW30945; AW30915; AW31010; AW30933; AWCP0357; AWCP0359; AWCP03499; AWCP03500; AWCP03502; AWCP03503; AWCP03504; AWCP03507; AWCP03508; AWCP03509; AWCP03511; AWCP03512; AWCP03513; AWCP03514; AWCP03515; AWCP03516; AWCP03517; AWCP03518; AWCP03519; AWCP03521; AWCP03524; AWCP03525; AWCP03526; AWCP03527; AWCP03528; AWCP03529; AWCP03530; AWCP03531; AWCP03534; AWCP03535; AWCP03536; AWCP03537; AWCP03538; AWCP03540; AWCP03542; AWCP03544; AWCP03546; AWCP03547; AWCP03549; AWCP03551; AWCP03553; AWCP03554; AWCP03555; AWCP03556; AWCP03557; AWCP03559; AWCP03561; AWCP03562; AWCP03563; AWCP03564; AWCP03565; AWCP03566; AWCP03567; AWCP03569; AWCP03570; AWCP03571; AWCP03572; AWCP03573; AWCP03576; AWCP03578; AWCP03581; AWCP03582; AWCP03583; AWCP03588; AWCP03589; AWCP03595; AWCP03599; AWCP03600; AWCP03602; AWCP03610; AWCP03646; AWCP03696; AWCP03697; AWCP03705; AWCP03739; AWCP03740; AWCP03749; AWCP03762; AWCP03775; AWCP03776; AWCP03778; AWCP03786; AWCP03788; AWCP03791; AWCP03796; AWCP03808; AWCP03824; AWCP03852; AWCP031152; AW31018; AW31019; AW31021; AW31023; AW31028; AW31029; AW31033; AW31036; AW31039; AW31040; AW31042; AW31045; AW30109; AW30129; AWCP03533; AW30116; AW30931; AWCP0334; AWCP0336; AWCP0381; AW30604; AWCP03502; AWCP03507; AWCP03513; AWCP03514; AWCP03515; AWCP03516; AWCP03524; AWCP03534; AWCP03535; AWCP03536; AWCP03537; AWCP03549; AWCP03551; AWCP03553; AWCP03562; AWCP03572; AWCP03585; AWCP03586; AWCP03597; AWCP03599; AWCP03697; AWCP031035; AW31018; AW31028; AW31029; AW31033; AW31037; AW31039; AW31040; AW30109	<p>Respondents have expressed concern surrounding the Carbon cost and emissions involved in the development and operation of the new facility. Respondents have stated that construction and operation should be net zero carbon. Respondents also expressed concern regarding the carbon cost of decommissioning the previous site at Milton. Respondents have offered various ways in which they believe this can be achieved including using electric vehicles or utilising renewable energy sources.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant states that Application document reference 5.2.10, ES Chapter 10: Carbon includes the decommissioning of the existing facility, construction of the proposed facility (embedded carbon in materials), land use change (the net impact land permanently required for the Proposed Development), operation of the proposed facility and decommissioning of the existing facility.</p> <p>An outline Decommissioning Plan has been created and is included in the Application. The Applicant is working with the master developers of the existing Cambridge WWTP to help them understand what assets and infrastructure will remain in place. Application document reference 5.2.10, ES Chapter 10: Carbon includes the construction of the proposed WWTP (embedded carbon in materials), land use change (the net impact of land permanently required for the Proposed Development), operation of the proposed WWTP and decommissioning of the existing Cambridge WWTP. Carbon of the existing Cambridge WWTP is not part of the scope of this proposal, that work will be completed by the future developer and considered as part of a separate planning application. It is likely to include the effects of emissions from plant used in demolition and the offset associated with the re-use of materials including secondary aggregate, recovered steel and equipment. The wider effects of changing the existing Cambridge WWTP is also covered by a separate strategic assessment</p> <p>The demolition of the existing facility will not be included within Application document reference 5.2.10, ES Chapter 10: Carbon. The assessment will demonstrate the savings between the base case and the design presented within the Application. The demolition of the existing facility is not part of the scope of this proposal, that work will be completed by the future developer and considered as part of a separate planning application. It is likely to include the effects of emissions from plant used in demolition and the offset associated with the re-use of materials including secondary aggregate, recovered steel and equipment.</p> <p>The wider effects of changing the existing facility is also be covered by a separate strategic assessment included as part of the application.</p> <p>The Applicant also confirms that solar panels will be used in the internally facing slope of the earth bank and sustainable materials have been an important consideration in the design of the project as low carbon concrete will be used.</p> <p>Regarding vehicle use, the Applicant confirms that a large part of the existing commercial fleet of vehicles are electric, however there is currently no feasible solution for HGVs to be electric.</p> <p>Further information is also available in Application document reference 5.4.10.1: GHG Assessment and Application document reference 5.4.10.2: Land use change calculation</p>
AW30209; AW30117; AW30303	<p>Respondents feel that the environmental impact has been appropriately and sufficiently considered.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant notes this comment. The Applicant presents its full Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) in Application document reference 5.</p>

Table 2-6 Community

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30217; AW30132; AW30129	Respondents were content that the new facility will be beneficial for the general population.	No	The Applicant notes this comment. The new facility, as well as being operationally net zero carbon, will be energy neutral. It is designed to adapt to changing social and environmental priorities, increasing resilience to storm flows and flooding and provide a long-term solution to how we best treat waste water for a growing Greater Cambridge population. This will better serve the community and the environment for future years.
AW30003; AW30030; AW30004; AWCP0391; AWCP0395; AWCP03600; AWCP03605; AWCP03607; AWCP03609; AWCP03649; AWCP03800; AWCP03820; AW31013; AW31033	Respondents highlighted that proposed new footpaths would cross through a high odour area so are therefore not desirable.	No	Footpaths and cycle paths are modelled at less than 5 ouE/m3, which presents a medium magnitude of impact. However, in line with IAQM guidance, the footpaths have been classified as low sensitivity receptors. Therefore, the proposed development has a negligible effect on the footpaths and cycle paths. The Odour Chapter of the Environmental Statement provides an assessment of the effects of odour and is supported by predictive modelling reported. See Application document reference 5.2.18 ES Chapter 18: Odour, Application document references: 5.4.18. 1-4 Odour Appendices.
AW30380; AWCP0403; AWCP03720; AWCP03721; AWCP03819; AW31042; AW30217; AW30222; AWCP0400; AW30107; AW30301	Respondents expressed a desire for more public paths and public rights of way and green spaces, including cycle paths and Bridleways. Some commented on the benefit this would have on general population health. These must include suitable provisions such as benches and dog bins. Some respondents commented that this could also include a park for family use.	Yes	The Applicant confirms that the new landscape for the Proposed Development creates a series of new recreational connections, on site and linking to the wider network. The LERMP (Application document reference 5.4.8.14), illustrates the connectivity to the existing public rights of way network. On the site itself, new links will be created. A publicly accessible path will traverse the eastern part of the site, set between a hedgerow with hedgerow trees, and the edge of the eastern woodland. The path surface is of a suitable width to be shared by pedestrians and recreational cyclists. The details of this can be found in the LERMP and Section 6 and 7 of the Design and Access Statement (document reference 7.6). Internal paths lead around the slopes of part of the earth bank and through the open ridge and furrow grassland. Where paths are in open areas these will be delineated by low level post and rail features, designed to promote the use of the paths, but not prohibit access to the open green spaces. The green space is not intended as a recreational destination in its own right. The landscape masterplan provides alternative access and routing for use by pedestrians and those on non-motorised transport through the area and in so doing diffuses and disperses footfall by offering more choice and creating positive experiences for recreational users of this area within the wider landscape. The Applicant has discussed the plans for a new Bridleway with the relevant Councils through the PROW TWG. The LERMP provides details on pathways and connectivity. We will continue to engage the Councils as part of the drafting of the Statement of Common Ground.

AWCP0422; AWCP0423

Respondents expressed concern No
regarding disruption that
construction, development and
operation of the new facility
would create for recreational
river activities such as kayaking.

The Applicant does not anticipate these types of activities to be impacted during the operation of the facility. During the construction the Applicant has confirmed there may be a requirement for short term dewatering, in particular of deep excavations associated with features such as the shafts and deep tunnel. Waterbeach is a shallow pipeline at 2 metres and there may be the requirement for very short-term localised dewatering, including to remove rainwater ingress to excavations. Dewatering activities would be subject to regulations and in some instances (depending on the scale of the dewatering and volumes of water) permits agreed with the Environment Agency.

Further information is available in Application document reference 4.14 Design Plans – Waterbeach pipeline long sections, and Application document reference 5.2.20 ES, Chapter 20: Water Resources

Table 2-7 Discovery Centre and Gateway Building

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30065; AW30567; AW30575; AWCP03495; AWCP03518; AWCP03524; AWCP03527; AWCP03529; AWCP03602; AWCP03643; AWCP03702; AWCP03834; AW31017; AW31019; AW31028; AW31033; AW31034; AW31036; AW31037; AW31038; AW31039; AW31040	Respondents question the value of the Discovery Centre and whether many will want to visit a sewage facility opposed by the local community. They express concern that damage will be caused to the surrounding environment during its construction and operation due to subsequent traffic	Yes	<p>Following the phase three consultation feedback received, the Applicant has further developed the design to minimise the visual impact of the Gateway Building, which the discovery centre will be a part of. (For further details see Application document reference 4.10.1: Design Plans – Buildings – Gateway building floor and roof plans, Application document reference 4.10.2: Design Plans - Buildings - Gateway building elevations)</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to a Discovery Centre that will be appointment only and therefore there will not be significant visitor traffic. The Discovery Centre will have a managed education programme, targeted towards scheduled opportunities for local schools and groups to learn about sustainability and the waste water treatment process. The impact of additional traffic from these visiting arrangements will therefore be minimal and accommodated within the proposed access and parking at the entrance of the facility.</p>
AW30122;	Respondent expressed approval of the proposed educational centre. Some respondents highlighted that the proposed educational facility should provide learning opportunities in conjunction with local schools	No	Educational Visits to the new facility will be undertaken on a managed, by appointment only basis for the benefit of local schools and community groups. This is on the same basis as the existing site. Please see full details in the LERMP (Application document reference 5.4.8.14).

Table 2-8 Historic Environment

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30804; AW30918; AW30954; AW30928; AW30956; AW31010; AW30914; AW31003; AW30001; AWCP0362; AWCP0443; AWCP0469; AWCP0477; AWCP0486; AWCP03513; AWCP03616; AWCP03659; AWCP03671; AWCP03699; AWCP03748; AWCP03753; AWCP03756; AWCP03760; AWCP03801; AW31012; AW31013; AW31015; AW31019; AW31020; AW31022; AW31035; AW31039; AW30210	<p>Respondents expressed concern regarding the effect that construction and operation of the proposed facility would have on rural heritage sites. They emphasised the need for access to heritage spaces and conservation areas and protecting the future of Cambridge and the surrounding villages. Landbeach is a historic village which houses the famous Grade 2 listed Tithe Barn - the only surviving one of its kind. Respondents commented that the sewage works smell would seriously take away from the enjoyment of this precious building.</p>	No	<p>The Applicant has undertaken an extensive Historic Environment Assessment as presented in its Application document reference 5.2.13 ES, Chapter 13: Historic Environment. The assessment has been undertaken in consultation with Historic England and Cambridgeshire County Council. The Applicant has continued engagement with council officers on the impact on historic environment, in order to define areas of agreement around landscape value, heritage, and interpretation of NPS policies, with the aim of reaching an agreement through the Statements of Common Ground. The Applicant is confident that the landscape architects have produced the best balance between visual impact, landscape, and heritage.</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to adopting the best practices during construction that reduces the impact on the community. Using the feedback received during this phase of consultation, a Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) (Application document reference 5.4.2.1) is submitted as part of the DCO Application. Mitigation measures with respect to Grade II listed assets are set out within the CoCP</p> <p>In addition to the measures in the CoCP, the project will also sign up to the Considerate Constructors Scheme (CCS). All Applicant staff and contactors will work in line with by CCS principles throughout the delivery of the construction works.</p>

Table 2-9 Landscape and Visual Amenity

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30801; AW30708; AW30702; AW30205; AW30707; AW30810; AW30804; AW30711; AW30606; AW30603; AW30710; AW30803; AW30805; AW30407; AW30404; AW30705; AW30701; AW30604; AW30217; AW30223; AW30503; AW30704; AW30714; AW30918; AW31005; AW31007; AW30943; AW30920; AW30950; AW30952; AW30912; AW30916; AW30922; AW30923; AW30956; AW30939; AW30936; AW30933; AW30925; AW30942; AW30607; AW30510; AW30567; AW30004; AWCP0390; AWCP0392; AWCP0398; AWCP0404; AWCP0406; AWCP0409; AWCP0411; AWCP0412; AWCP0416; AWCP0418; AWCP0419; AWCP0421; AWCP0423; AWCP0428; AWCP0429; AWCP0431; AWCP0432; AWCP0436; AWCP0437; AWCP0438; AWCP0440; AWCP0442; AWCP0443; AWCP0445; AWCP0447; AWCP0453; AWCP0456; AWCP0457; AWCP0465; AWCP0471; AWCP0475; AWCP0477; AWCP0480; AWCP0485; AWCP0487; AWCP03502; AWCP03514; AWCP03518; AWCP03531; AWCP03612; AWCP03640; AWCP03642; AWCP03654; AWCP03657; AWCP03704; AWCP03770; AWCP03780; AWCP03806; AWCP03843; AWCP03844; AW31016; AW31017; AW31018; AW31019; AW31028; AW31033; AW31034; AW31035; AW31037; AW31038; AW31039; AW31040; AW31042; AW30109; AW30129; AW30217	Respondents were apprehensive that there is not sufficient landscaping to disguise the new WWTP.	Yes	<p>The Applicant has committed to encircle the facility with a high earth bank, restore and reinforce planting in key locations to further screen views from local communities.</p> <p>The comprehensive landscape and planting proposals will be supported by a long-term management scheme, will be described in the Landscape, Ecological and Recreational Management Plan that was made available at the second statutory phase of consultation.</p> <p>The PEIR that was consulted on at Phase Three consultation also contained a selected of photomontages from eight representative locations to show the latest designs of the facility from that particular viewpoint.</p> <p>Key changes to the evolution of the landscape design in response to Phase Two and Three consultation have included the removal of the engineered screen from the earth bank, replaced by mature planting on top of the earth bank. The Applicant has also reviewed the engineering design of the Proposed Development and has committed to the anaerobic digesters – being the tallest elements – being no taller than a maximum of 20 metres. This is a reduction from the previous 26 metre maximum. The massing of the buildings has also been reduced. A further review of the landscape design following Phase Three Consultation, and responses from technical stakeholders, creates a design that aligns with the planting and shape of existing vegetation in order fit into the local landscape character.</p> <p>Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.15, ES Chapter 15: Landscape and visual amenity. Application document reference</p>
AW30810; AW30714; AWCP0392; AWCP0421; AWCP0476; AWCP03533; AWCP03690; AWCP03717; AWCP03747; AWCP03749; AWCP03768; AWCP03826; AWCP03847; AW31016; AW31039; AW31041; AW31042; AW30109	Respondents were concerned about the light pollution generated by the facility at night time and the impact that this would have on general aesthetic and local wildlife. They have requested further information and detail surrounding plans for lighting.	No	<p>The Applicant has confirmed that the height of the lights inside the earth bank will be no greater than 5m. This lighting will also be directed downwards and generally only when carrying out tasks. Lighting outside of the earth bank will be discrete and kept to a minimum for safety reasons. It will also be active for use, not activated all through night time hours. Lighting along Horningsea Road will be agreed with the local highway authority and only installed if absolutely necessary for safety reasons. A Lighting Assessment has been carried out and plans for lighting are set out in the Project Description (Application document reference 5.2.2 ES, Chapter 2: Project Description)</p> <p>Further information in the final application is available in Document Reference Volume 5.2.15, ES Chapter 15: Landscape and visual amenity.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30708; AW30805; AW30210; AW30302; AW30901; AW30932; AW31004; AW31006; AW30919; AW31003; AW30946; AW30263; AWCP0392; AWCP0415; AWCP0451; AWCP0465; AWCP0472; AWCP0482; AWCP0486; AWCP03541; AWCP03582; AWCP03588; AWCP03595; AWCP03621; AWCP03654; AWCP03693; AWCP03694; AWCP03696; AWCP03701; AWCP03715; AWCP03716; AWCP03728; AWCP03743; AWCP03745; AWCP03750; AWCP03764; AWCP03795; AWCP03802; AWCP03816; AWCP03818; AWCP03821; AWCP03839; AWCP03849; AWCP031123; AWCP031134; AW31013; AW31015; AW31017; AW31019; AW31021; AW31022; AW31027; AW31030; AW31031; AW31035; AW31036; AW31040; AW31040; AW30210; AWCP03817; AW31042; AWCP03502; AWCP03510; AWCP03517; AWCP03525; AWCP03530; AWCP03534; AWCP03536; AWCP03537; AWCP03538; AWCP03540; AWCP03546; AWCP03553; AWCP03554; AWCP03558; AWCP03575; AW31016; AW31028; AW31033	<p>Respondents expressed general concern regarding the visual impact and aesthetic of the WWTP. Respondents object to views being obscured by the site and architecture, expressing specific concern that the Gateway Building is an unnecessarily large structure.</p>	yes	<p>Following Phase Three Consultation the design of the Proposed Development has been amended to improve its visual impact. Following Phase Three Consultation stakeholder responses were considered in the landscape masterplan and a significant modification has been made to the design to take on board the comments made about the need to improve visual mitigation. The Applicant has reviewed design, building heights, mass of buildings and planting. The Applicant has also modified the planting design to be more aligned with the local landscape. The amended designs have significantly improved the visual impact, as set out in the Applicant’s ES Chapter 15: Landscape & Visual Amenity, Application document reference 5.4.15.</p> <p>The colour palette and final design of Gateway Building are to be determined under the DCO requirements and so will remain indicative at this point.</p> <p>Following Phase Three consultation there has been an addition of larger trees to the early planting and woodland edges, instead of just whips and transplants. The new mitigation measures work to reduce impacts as far as possible within this landscape, through a combination of visual screening from the earthwork and over time the vegetation and a balance of openness and woodland blocks and belts. These changes remove the need for further off-site mitigation planting. Please see the Applicant’s LERMP (Application document reference 5.4.8.14).</p>
AW30101; AW30803; AW30223; AW30714; AW30901; AW30304; AW30958; AW30932; AW30920; AW30950; AW30917; AW30946; AWCP0464; AWCP0481; AWCP03507; AWCP03515; AWCP03516; AWCP03525; AWCP03584; AWCP03593; AWCP03640; AW31014; AW31016; AW31017; AW31022; AW31035; AW31037; AW31039; AW31040	<p>Respondents commented that the development should blend with the surrounding landscape. They commented on architecture and design of the development whilst suggesting that developers should work collaboratively with other local projects for optimal landscape results.</p>	No	<p>Throughout the design evolution of the Proposed Development the Applicant has placed mitigating impacts at the core of the design. As set out in the Applicant’s Design and Access Statement (Application document reference 7.6), the masterplan has sought to minimise the total land take for the Proposed Development. This includes minimising land required for development through efficient planning and optimising the area around it to integrate the Proposed Development into the countryside and best mitigate its impact on the landscape and Green Belt. These studies concluded that the project should be located within the area defined by the A14, B1047 Horningsea Road, Low Fen Drove Way and the County Wildlife Site (CWS); an area of 94 ha total with well-defined boundaries comprising 22 ha for the new facility and 72 ha to mitigate the effects of the Proposed Development.</p> <p>The landscape design for the Proposed Development was developed with stakeholders and communities through TWGs and the Community Working Group (CWG). As set out in the LERMP, Application document reference 5.4.8.14) the new landscape provides a new and substantial feature of green infrastructure, designed to mitigate the effects of the Proposed Development, to create an aesthetically pleasing and user-friendly green space, and to provide exemplary wildlife benefits through a mosaic of new habitats.</p> <p>Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.15 ES Chapter Landscape and visual amenity. Application document reference: 7.6 DAS Statement.</p> <p>The Applicant is also mindful of the potential effects from developments which have planning permission, in addition to the potential effects of the Proposed Development. These effects are considered and assessed within the cumulative impact assessment and makes reference to the</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
			<p>plan level HRA Report for the North East Cambridge Area Action Plan (NECAAP) prepared by SCDC, The Greater Cambridge Local Plan HRA Scoping Report, the East Cambridgeshire Local Plan HRA Report, and the Cambridge East Area Action Plan HRA Report. The CEA is included within the Environmental Statement. Engagement has been held with stakeholders who have interest in the wider area in order to discuss the ensure the project complements local initiatives such as the Wicken Fen Vision and Cambridge Nature Network (see Application document reference 5.2.21 ES, Chapter 21: Cumulative Effects).Application document reference</p>
<p>AW30807; AW30902; AW30410; AW30129; AW30810; AW30804; AW30711; AW30803; AW30407; AW30132; AW30508; AW30705; AW30701; AW30127; AW30208; AW30509; AW30217; AW30128; AW30406; AW30903; AW30503; AW30714; AW31005; AW30929; AW30912; AW30949; AW31004; AW30924; AW30955; AW30923; AW30956; AW30945; AW30939; AW30936; AW30607; AW30713; AW30575; AWCP0391; AWCP0392; AWCP0399; AWCP0401; AWCP0417; AWCP0418; AWCP0420; AWCP0432; AWCP0434; AWCP0437; AWCP0451; AWCP0461; AWCP0468; AWCP0471; AWCP03514; AWCP03568; AW31016; AW31028; AW31034; AW30109; AW30129; AW30132; AW30217; AW30107; AW30802; AW30101; AW30603; AW30407; AW30404; AW30103; AW30604; AW30109; AW30918; AW30950; AW30912; AW30939; AW30510; AWCP0472; AWCP03533; AWCP03806; AWCP03813; AW31016; AW31019; AW31034; AW31036</p>	<p>Respondents emphasised the need for mature organic planting to be used to screen the site which should be installed at the beginning of the construction process. Respondents expressed a wish for screening of the site to be comprised of organic planting as opposed to artificial structures.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The landscape design for the Proposed Development was developed with stakeholders and communities TWGs and the CWG. As set out in the LERMP, Application document reference 5.4.8.14) the new landscape provides a new and substantial feature of green infrastructure, designed to mitigate the effects of the Proposed Development, to create an aesthetically pleasing and user-friendly green space, and to provide exemplary wildlife benefits through a mosaic of new habitats.</p> <p>The Applicant proposed a circular earth bank to envelope and effectively screen all but the tallest elements of the Proposed Development from all directions. The Applicant has committed to further screening through landscaping and planting measures, including on-site and initial planting as outlined in the LERMP (Application document reference 5.4.8.14).</p> <p>Key changes to the evolution of the landscape design in response to Phase Two and Three consultation have included the removal of the engineered screen from the earth bank, replaced by mature planting on top of the earth bank.</p> <p>Following Phase Three Consultation stakeholder responses the Applicant has reviewed the landscape masterplan and a significant modification has been made in design to take on board the comments made about the need to improve visual mitigation. This includes the design, building heights, mass of buildings and planting.</p>
<p>AW30708; AW30902; AW30802; AW30502; AW30702; AW30410; AW30804; AW30711; AW30606; AW30603; AW30710; AW30805; AW30407; AW30604; AW30208; AW30509; AW30217; AW30903; AW30109; AW30503; AW30714; AW30901; AW30918; AW31005; AW31007; AW30929; AW30943; AW30932; AW30916; AW30928; AW30922; AW30938; AW30949; AW30953; AW30911; AW31004; AW30944; AW30924; AW30937; AW30955;</p>	<p>Respondents expressed concern regarding the height of the plant and digesters. They contend that these should be either lowered or buried.</p>	<p>Yes</p>	<p>The Applicant has stated that the design changes made following phase three consultation to further reduce the visual impact of the development, including changes to building heights and planting is sufficient in mitigating any visual impact (Application document reference 5.2.15 ES, Chapter 15: Landscape & Visual Amenity).</p> <p>The Applicant has committed to the anaerobic digesters – being the tallest elements – being no taller than a maximum of 20 metres. This is a reduction from the previous 26 metre maximum.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW31001; AW30923; AW30956; AW30945; AW30948; AW30917; AW31006; AW31010; AW30939; AW30921; AW30957; AW30933; AW30927; AW31003; AW30942; AW30607; AW30713; AW30931; AW30001; AW30055; AWCP0392; AWCP0398; AWCP0401; AWCP0404; AWCP0405; AWCP0408; AWCP0411; AWCP0413; AWCP0414; AWCP0416; AWCP0418; AWCP0423; AWCP0430; AWCP0432; AWCP0436; AWCP0436; AWCP0437; AWCP03615; AWCP0438; AWCP0441; AWCP0442; AWCP0443; AWCP0445; AWCP0453; AWCP0457; AWCP0458; AWCP0461; AWCP0462; AWCP0463; AWCP0468; AWCP0471; AWCP0473; AWCP0475; AWCP0477; AWCP0479; AWCP0480; AWCP0486; AWCP0489; AWCP03502; AWCP03508; AWCP03518; AWCP03556; AWCP03573; AWCP03584; AWCP03592; AWCP03616; AWCP03626; AWCP03642; AWCP03659; AWCP03670; AWCP03694; AWCP03742; AWCP03744; AWCP03745; AWCP03755; AWCP03768; AWCP03770; AWCP03781; AWCP03791; AWCP03791; AWCP03801; AWCP03829; AWCP03830; AWCP03844; AW31013; AW31015; AW31018; AW31019; AW31028; AW31030; AW31033; AW31035; AW31037; AW31040; AW30109; AW30217			The maximum heights of other structures have also been reduced as set out in Application document reference 4.1.
AWCP03613; AWCP03627; AWCP03629; AWCP03809; AW31013; AW31019	Respondents stated that the National Trust should be left to develop this area as part of the Wicken Fen vision.	No	The Applicant is continuing to consult with Natural England, the Wildlife Trust, the National Trust and local community groups to develop ecological proposals and detailed mitigation measures through ongoing Technical Working Groups (TWGs) and as part of the EIA. With regard to the Wicken Fen vision, as it anticipates the area of development would contain grassland - the Applicant has sought to incorporate grassland in the project design although acknowledging that some elements of the woodland screening would not be fully compatible with the vision. Further information is available in Application document reference 5.2.15, ES Chapter 15: Landscape and visual amenity.
AW30122	Respondent was content that the gateway building effectively screens the site.	No	The Applicant notes this comment. Please see the Applicant's LERMP (Applicant document reference 5.4.8.14).
AWCP03772	Respondent asked for clarification regarding the Gates marked on the footpaths at the base of the bund and at other points – e.g. Low Fen Drove. Does this mean there are fences here?	No	The Applicant has confirmed that there will be fencing internally around the inside of the earth bank. (Application document reference 4.9 Design Plans - Proposed Waste Water Treatment Plant)

Table 2-10 Noise and Vibration

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30219; AW30109; AW30503; AWCP0320; AWCP0336; AWCP0347; AWCP0350; AWCP0357; AWCP0360; AWCP0362; AWCP0364; AWCP0365; AWCP0366; AWCP0379; AWCP0455; AWCP03512; AWCP03515; AWCP03522; AWCP03638; AWCP03652; AWCP03661; AWCP03665; AWCP03690; AWCP03703; AWCP03791; AWCP03801; AWCP03854; AW31015; AW31016; AW31018; AW31019; AW31020; AW31028; AW31036; AW31039; AW31041; AW30109; AW30210	Respondents expressed concern regarding noise pollution and vibration created both as a result of construction works and the subsequent increase in traffic volume.	No	The Applicant recognises that noise and vibration during construction and operation may be a concern to local residents and other stakeholders. The Applicant has included noise assessments in the Environmental Statement, Application document reference 5.4.17.4: Operational Noise Sources, and Application document reference 5.4.17.3: Construction Noise Assessment
AWCP03691; AW30217	Respondents conveyed concern surrounding the prevalence of noise from construction traffic during sociable hours and the potential disruption this may cause to local communities.	No	The Applicant has included noise assessments in the Environmental Statement, Application document reference 5.4.17.4: Operational Noise Sources and Application document reference 5.4.17.3: Construction Noise Assessment The Applicants proposals for vibration, dust and pollution managements are set out in the Code of Construction practice, Application document Reference 5.4.2.1.

Table 2-11 Odour

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30219; AW30807; AW30502; AW30804; AW30711; AW30710; AW30605; AW30701; AW30217; AW30943; AW30950; AW30924; AW30713; AW30602; AW30066; AW30263; AW30002; AWCP0315; AWCP0455; AWCP0486; AWCP03499; AWCP03500; AWCP03505; AWCP03508; AWCP03509; AWCP03510; AWCP03513; AWCP03514; AWCP03518; AWCP03519; AWCP03523; AWCP03524; AWCP03527; AWCP03528; AWCP03529; AWCP03531; AWCP03534; AWCP03535; AWCP03536; AWCP03537; AWCP03540; AWCP03541; AWCP03542; AWCP03545; AWCP03546; AWCP03547; AWCP03548; AWCP03549; AWCP03550; AWCP03554; AWCP03558; AWCP03560; AWCP03563; AWCP03564; AWCP03566; AWCP03567; AWCP03569; AWCP03570; AWCP03571; AWCP03572; AWCP03576; AWCP03582; AWCP03583; AWCP03584; AWCP03586; AWCP03588; AWCP03588; AWCP03589; AWCP03590; AWCP03592; AWCP03593; AWCP03594; AWCP03595; AWCP03597; AWCP03598; AWCP03600; AWCP03607; AWCP03615; AWCP03636; AWCP03638; AWCP03644; AWCP03650; AWCP03651; AWCP03655; AWCP03658; AWCP03664; AWCP03696; AWCP03711; AWCP03727; AWCP03741; AWCP03746; AWCP03748; AWCP03773; AWCP03774; AWCP03791; AWCP03800; AWCP03801; AWCP03802; AWCP03805; AWCP03821; AWCP03828; AWCP03830; AWCP03831; AWCP03841; AWCP03911; AWCP031033; AWCP031044; AW31011; AW31015; AW31016; AW31018; AW31019; AW31020; AW31021; AW31023; AW31027; AW31028; AW31030; AW31031; AW31033; AW31036; AW31037; AW31040; AW31041; AW31042; AW31043; AW30109; AW30129; AW30132; AW30210; AWCP03543	<p>Respondents are concerned about odour generated by the new site and the impact this will have on the local community. They ask for further data and odour modelling, including for the data to be independently verified. They also suggest further mitigation measures such as tree planting to absorb the smell and ask for compensation where odour levels exceed those promised. Some respondents requested that natural mitigation measures be more prevalent such as organic planting.</p>	<p>No</p>	<p>The Applicant has confirmed that they are committed to deliver the lowest ‘negligible’ odour levels for existing high sensitivity receptors (people’s homes and public rights of way) in line with the Institute of Air Quality Management (IAQM) guidance. Odour modelling is set out in accordance with IAQM, this includes extending the odour modelling data set to include the last 5 years’ weather data and continuing to assess the worst-case scenario. The Applicant considers that an independent verification is not required, it has been done in accordance with the IAQM guidance, the assessment has been through the Applicant's consultants and the Applicant's own internal quality review process. The Applicant has confirmed that the operation of the Proposed Development will require an environmental permit, which is issued and regulated by the Environment Agency. The environmental permit for the Proposed Development will require the operator to have a written environmental management system (EMS), which includes a set of plans and procedures describing measures to avoid, reduce and eliminate potential environmental impacts associated with the activities covered by the permit. This includes an Odour Management Plan which details how site operations are to be managed to minimise odour impacts. This Plan will be a ‘living document’ with regular updates, which outlines operational odour management, monitoring and reporting measures. It will also include controls to be implemented in the event of an incident such as a spillage and outline how to record odour events and respond to complaints. Under the environmental permit, the operator will continually monitor treatment performance, prevent and respond to any on-site issues at the earliest opportunity. The design of the project is focused upon natural measures, including planting, for mitigation.</p> <p>The Applicant also states that the Odour chapter in the Environmental Statement shows a negligible level of odour at the proposed facility.</p> <p>The Applicant also states that following phase three consultation there have been further design developments to mitigate odour, including there now being only one filtered vent shaft.</p> <p>Further modelling information is also available in Application document reference 5.2.18: ES, Chapter 18: Odour.</p>

Table 2-12 Planning and Policy

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30703; AW30902; AW30802; AW30401; AW30301; AW30804; AW30711; AW30710; AW30803; AW30407; AW30701; AW30403; AW30210; AW30907; AW30712; AW30709; AW30901; AW30918; AW30929; AW30940; AW30934; AW31009; AW30913; AW31004; AW30955; AW30923; AW30948; AW31008; AW31010; AW30921; AW30927; AW30942; AW30607; AW30905; AW30713; AW30909; AW30906; AW30910; AW30138; AWCP0356; AWCP0357; AWCP0370; AWCP0392; AWCP0402; AWCP0409; AWCP0413; AWCP0414; AWCP0435; AWCP0448; AWCP0453; AWCP0459; AWCP0462; AWCP0466; AWCP0467; AWCP0469; AWCP0482; AWCP0494; AWCP03499; AWCP03508; AWCP03512; AWCP03513; AWCP03514; AWCP03522; AWCP03524; AWCP03527; AWCP03531; AWCP03534; AWCP03535; AWCP03538; AWCP03544; AWCP03559; AWCP03563; AWCP03568; AWCP03570; AWCP03574; AWCP03580; AWCP03583; AWCP03586; AWCP03591; AWCP03593; AWCP03599; AWCP03600; AWCP03601; AWCP03602; AWCP03611; AWCP03617; AWCP03628; AWCP03629; AWCP03634; AWCP03635; AWCP03637; AWCP03641; AWCP03642; AWCP03643; AWCP03653; AWCP03662; AWCP03671; AWCP03672; AWCP03686; AWCP03687; AWCP03689; AWCP03692; AWCP03696; AWCP03697; AWCP03698; AWCP03713; AWCP03714; AWCP03728; AWCP03733; AWCP03739; AWCP03740; AWCP03742; AWCP03748; AWCP03749; AWCP03752; AWCP03753; AWCP03763; AWCP03766; AWCP03769; AWCP03770; AWCP03775; AWCP03776; AWCP03785; AWCP03791; AWCP03803; AWCP03804; AWCP03808; AWCP03809; AWCP03825; AWCP03826; AWCP03827; AWCP03828; AWCP03842; AWCP03846; AWCP03854; AWCP031086; AWCP031092; AWCP031093; AWCP031100; AWCP031108; AWCP031117; AWCP031131; AWCP031145; AWCP031152; AWCP031157; AWCP031161; AWCP031182; AWCP031183; AW31012; AW31013; AW31014; AW31016; AW31019; AW31021; AW31023; AW31024; AW31025; AW31026; AW31027; AW31028; AW31029; AW31031; AW31032; AW31035; AW31036; AW31037; AW31039; AW31040; AW31043; AW31044; AW31045; AW30210	Respondents have expressed concern that they do not wish for Green Belt land to be built on.	No	<p>The Applicant recognises the sensitivity of the selected site being in the Green Belt. The Green Belt has been considered as an important planning constraint. Proposals for development in the Green Belt must be considered in the context of National as well as local planning policy, where development may only be permitted if the right circumstances exist.</p> <p>As part of the Development Consent Order (DCO) process the Applicant evidences the special circumstances for building on Green Belt with the submission of a full assessment of the need of the proposed development in accordance with the National Policy Statement for Waste Water and the national planning policy for Green Belt (as set out in the NPPF), local development plan policies and Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Combined Authority's (CPCA) performance indicators.</p> <p>Further information can be found in the Green Belt Statement (Document Reference Volume 7, Chapter 5: Planning Statement).</p>
AW30802; AW30915; AW30607; AW30066; AW30263; AW30045; AW30026; AWCP0390; AWCP0391;	Respondents question the need for the creation of	No	The Applicant acknowledges the comments about the wider opportunities and impacts of the project. The purpose of the landscape is to improve recreational connectivity and connectivity to

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP0477; AWCP0491; AWCP0493; AWCP0494; AWCP03500; AWCP03638; AWCP03642; AWCP03649; AWCP03727; AWCP03823; AWCP03833; AW31022; AW31023; AWCP03729	footpaths when greenbelt already exists for recreational purposes. Respondents expressed that the existing greenbelt is preferred to proposed landscaping and footpaths for recreational purposes.		surrounding landscape and proposed nature networks rather than to create a visitor destination. The Applicant's proposals for connectivity and recreational use are presented in its LERMP (document reference 5.4.8.14).

Table 2-13 Traffic and Transport

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30219; AW30401; AW30205; AW30803; AW30128; AW30907; AW30302; AW30503; AW30714; AW30709; AW30954; AW30929; AW30912; AW30913; AW30938; AW30911; AW30955; AW31008; AW30927; AW30713; AW30926; AW30066; AWCP0305; AWCP0309; AWCP0312; AWCP0318; AWCP0321; AWCP0322; AWCP0326; AWCP0327; AWCP0339; AWCP0340; AWCP0347; AWCP0350; AWCP0359; AWCP0360; AWCP0367; AWCP0370; AWCP0376; AWCP0389; AWCP0421; AWCP03522; AWCP03591; AWCP03635; AWCP03638; AWCP03647; AWCP03666; AWCP03667; AWCP03669; AWCP03673; AWCP03681; AWCP03729; AWCP03732; AWCP03735; AWCP03784; AWCP03794; AWCP03832; AWCP03848; AW31014; AW31015; AW31016; AW31018; AW31019; AW31020; AW31021; AW31023; AW31027; AW31028; AW31030; AW31033; AW31037; AW31040; AW31041; AW31042; AW31044; AW30109; AW30210; AW30217; AW30703; AWCP03837	Respondents expressed general concern regarding additional traffic disruption in the area throughout both construction and site operation. They demand that disruption to transport in the area is minimised. This includes staff travel to the WWTP.	No	<p>The Applicant will continue to work with the community and other stakeholders to further mitigate any impacts on the local community and the highway road network, including consideration given to pedestrians and non-motorised users.</p> <p>A draft Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP) was consulted on at Phase Three consultation. The final CTMP included with the Application (Application document reference 5.4.19.7 CTMP) sets out details for mitigating construction impact Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP), including the following proposed mitigation measures:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Monitoring and enforcement of construction traffic routing including use of Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) equipment during construction • Avoiding peak hours for HGVs where possible • Construction traffic will not be permitted to travel through Horningsea or Fen Ditton villages • Having a logistics manager in place to manage HGV deliveries in order to minimise the impact on the transport network • Having a Traffic Management Plan that will be created in consultation with a community liaison group • Having a reporting process set up as part of the monitoring and enforcement programme. <p>Application document reference</p>
AW30502; AW30108; AW30109; AW30943; AW30934; AW30944; AW30915; AWCP0306; AWCP0307; AWCP0309; AWCP0345; AWCP0349; AWCP0356; AWCP0367; AWCP0383; AWCP0387; AWCP03645; AWCP03679; AWCP03724; AWCP03734; AWCP03738; AWCP03743; AWCP03779; AWCP03798; AW31019; AW31021; AW30129; AWCP0335; AW30948; AW30939; AW30926; AWCP0322; AWCP0317; AWCP0349; AWCP0389; AW31041	Respondents felt that additional traffic and the prevalence of HGVs created additional danger and disruption for cyclists, pedestrians and equestrians due to additional traffic.	No	<p>The Environmental Statement has assessed traffic and also sets out the impacts and mitigation for traffic and transport during construction and operation. The Applicant is confident that the traffic assessment has been detailed and the mitigations proposed will minimise impacts sufficiently.</p> <p>The Applicant has worked with the National and Local Highways Authorities on the design to mitigate traffic impacts, this includes preventing vehicles using the village roads. The Environmental Statement provides details of the traffic assessment work carried out, as well as designs for the junction and bridge. The Construction Traffic Management Plan provides details as to how construction traffic will be managed to mitigate the impact on road users. Please see Application document reference 5.2.19 ES, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, Application document reference 5.4.19.1 & 5.4.19.2 Traffic Surveys, Application document reference 5.4.19.3 Transport Assessment, Application document reference 5.4.19.7 CTMP, Application document Reference 4.11 Design Plans - Highways.</p> <p>The Applicant has considered general NMU provision throughout the design of the Proposed Development. As a result of discussion with technical experts within the Traffic and Access TWG and PROW TWG, and following the review of the recreational survey data and further internal assessment, it is not agreed that the Horningsea overbridge is suitable for the inclusion of equestrians. The Applicant has considered general NMU provision throughout the design of the project and incorporated additional bridleway access.</p>

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30907; AWCP0322; AWCP03678	Respondents were doubtful that traffic proposals would create any benefit unless the existing road infrastructure was improved.	No	The Applicant has carried out a detailed assessment access options, which evidences that Option 1b provides the optimum access route. Chapter 3 (Alternatives) in the ES (Application document reference 5.2.3) describes the alternatives considered and assessment of each access option. Please see Application document reference 5.2.19, ES Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, and Application document reference: 5.2.3 Alternatives.
AWCP0369	Respondents conveyed concern surrounding the prevalence of construction traffic during un-social hours and the potential disruption this may cause	No	<p>The Applicant has worked with the National and Local Highways Authorities on the design to mitigate traffic impacts, this includes preventing vehicles using the village roads.</p> <p>Vehicle movements will only occur in accordance with the working hours which are defined within the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP). Section 3 of the CoCP (Community Consultation and Engagement, Application document reference 5.4.2.1: COCP) requires a proactive approach to communication with the local community and stakeholders.</p>
AW30955; AW30936; AW30809; AWCP0310; AWCP0322; AWCP0334; AWCP0362; AWCP0374; AWCP0376; AWCP0383; AWCP03624; AWCP03669; AWCP03751; AWCP03832; AW31018; AW31028	Respondents argued that HGV traffic should not travel through villages or residential areas due to narrow country lanes which are unsuitable for heavy traffic.	No	<p>The Applicant is working with National and Local Highways Authority to design mitigation that prevents traffic from taking shortcuts. HGVs are GPS enabled and monitored and the Applicant will be notified if they do go down a prohibited road. There will also be a geofence in the GPS system to prevent vehicles going down these areas. The existing junction off the A14 will be reconfigured into a four way junction, preventing vehicles leaving the site to turn right into Horningsea</p> <p>The Applicant will engage the community during construction, further details will be provided in the Community Liaison Plan (Application document reference 7.8)</p>
AWCP0317; AWCP0368; AWCP03648; AWCP03730	Respondents communicated specific concern regarding the danger of slip road tailbacks at Junction 34 on the A14 and Milton roundabout.	No	<p>The Applicant confirms that mitigation at the junction has developed with engagement with National Highways and the Local Highway Authority (Document Ref 5.2.19 Environmental Statement, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, Document Reference 4.11 Design Plans - Highways)</p> <p>The Community Liaison Plan and the Code of Construction Practice set out the inclusion of a Community Liaison Officer role to ensure effective communication with the community (Document Reference 5.4.2.1 Code of Construction Practice and Document Reference 7.8: Community Liaison Plan)</p>
AW30410; AW30606; AWCP0342; AWCP0369; AW30132	Respondents conveyed concern surrounding the prevalence of construction traffic during peak hours and the potential disruption this may cause	No	The Traffic and Transport Chapter in the Environmental Statement sets out the modelling of traffic, including modelling of the junction. This has shown that the junction has capacity and would not lead to significant effects on driver delay. Furthermore, there will be a requirement to implement a CTMP which, amongst other things, specifies that that all deliveries will be made outside of peak hours (8am-9am and 3-4pm) unless it is determined to be essential that the delivery is to be completed during peak hours and requires that the scheduling of vehicle movements adheres to works hours. Please see Application document reference 5.2.19 ES, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport.

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30703; AW30708; AW30301; AW30810; AW30606; AW30803; AW30605; AW30508; AW30903; AW30109; AW30130; AW30108; AW30954; AW30952; AW30935; AW30915; AW30921; AW30957; AW30919; AW30941; AW30567; AWCP0301; AWCP0302; AWCP0303; AWCP0304; AWCP0307; AWCP0308; AWCP0316; AWCP0317; AWCP0320; AWCP0323; AWCP0324; AWCP0330; AWCP0331; AWCP0332; AWCP0333; AWCP0336; AWCP0341; AWCP0343; AWCP0344; AWCP0346; AWCP0352; AWCP0353; AWCP0354; AWCP0355; AWCP0361; AWCP0363; AWCP0364; AWCP0365; AWCP0366; AWCP0371; AWCP0372; AWCP0375; AWCP0378; AWCP0379; AWCP0381; AWCP0382; AWCP0384; AWCP0388; AWCP03611; AWCP03614; AWCP03644; AWCP03730; AWCP03771; AWCP03779; AWCP03792; AWCP03812; AWCP03848; AW31027; AW31033; AW30109; AW30132; AW30217	Respondents were confident that dedicated and direct access from the A14 for construction traffic to the site is the only safe and viable option.	No	<p>In addition to a traffic assessment, the Applicant has undertaken a detailed, wider appraisal assessing the options against 22 different criteria. The Applicant carefully considered all consultation responses from the local community and other stakeholders, including the relevant highways authorities.</p> <p>The wider appraisal has shown there is a viable alternative to option 3 in option 1 and the Applicant has not been able to evidence a need for a new junction off the A14. The Applicant understands the community's clear preference for Option 3, and acknowledges that this option would keep traffic off the local road network. However, when considering the analysis alongside National Highways' advice that a new junction to allow access directly from the A14 would only be acceptable to them where there were no viable alternatives, the Applicant did not consider that a need for Option 3 could be evidenced.</p> <p>Therefore, the Applicant will be progressing the proposals based on Option 1: access off Junction 34 (Fen Ditton). The Applicant assessed traffic impacts further as part of the detailed Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) and Transport Assessment. These were available in the Preliminary Environmental Information Report (PEIR) consulted on at Phase Three consultation.</p> <p>The traffic and transport chapter (Application document reference 5.2, ES Chapter 19: Traffic and Transport) describes the mitigation measures designed to minimise vehicle movements and control vehicle movements coinciding with morning and afternoon peaks, and the measures put in place to stop vehicles travelling through villages. Measures to control traffic are set out within the Code of Construction Practice (CoCP) and Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP).</p>
AW30222; AW30801; AW30410; AW30711; AW30606; AW30603; AW30710; AW30114; AW30918; AW30950; AW30952; AW30938; AW30949; AW30953; AW31004; AW30937; AW31010; AWCP0316; AWCP0319; AWCP0324; AWCP0328; AWCP0337; AWCP0338; AWCP0339; AWCP0373; AWCP0377; AWCP0382; AWCP03787	Respondents highlighted the need for adequate signage (which should be clear but low impact) to direct construction traffic to the site entrance. This is necessary to reduce traffic through Horningsea and Fen Ditton. They argue that exit from the site should only be entering A14 Westbound of that junction.	Yes	Information is included in the Construction Traffic Management Plan (Application document reference 5.4.19.7 Construction Traffic Management Plan) provides details for ensuring effective management of construction vehicles and in the Operational Workers Travel Plan. Measures include commitment to safety codes, Automatic Number Plate Recognition, agreeing a Reporting and Enforcement Strategy, encouraging reporting by the community, specifying transport routes in contracts.
AW30502; AW30711; AW30950; AWCP0328; AWCP0343; AWCP0377	Respondents ask that roads are kept clear of debris to prevent obstruction to cycle and pedestrian paths	No	The Construction Traffic Management Plan provides details as to how construction traffic will be managed to mitigate the impact on road users ((Application document reference 5.4.19.7 Construction Traffic Management Plan)

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AWCP03707; AWCP03708; AWCP03710; AWCP03719; AWCP03767	Respondents have expressed specific concerns regarding the disruption to Bannold Road and Long Drove.	No	<p>The traffic modelling methodology has been discussed and agreed with Cambridgeshire County Council as Local Highways Authority. The traffic modelling has included an analysis of traffic around Denny End and Bannold Road and mitigations during construction are set out in the Construction Traffic Management Plan. Please see Application document reference 5.2.19 ES, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, Application document reference 5.4.19.1 & 5.4.19.2 Traffic Surveys and Application document reference 5.4.19.3 Transport Assessment.</p> <p>The Applicant has responded to local residents to confirm Long Drove would remain open to residents to pass throughout the work, as would Bannold Road.</p>
AW30410; AW30407; AW30509; AW30923; AW30936; AWCP0302; AWCP0303; AWCP0326; AWCP0328; AWCP0339; AWCP0347; AWCP0371; AWCP0373; AWCP0377; AWCP0385; AWCP0386; AWCP03787; AW31021	Respondents expressed that there is a need for sanctions to be imposed on offending construction traffic according to HGV traffic limits.	Yes	Information is included in the Construction Traffic Management Plan (Application document reference 5.4.19.7 Construction Traffic Management Plan) provides details for ensuring effective management of construction vehicles and in the Operational Workers Travel Plan. Measures include commitment to safety codes, Automatic Number Plate Recognition, agreeing a Reporting and Enforcement Strategy, encouraging reporting by the community, specifying transport routes in contracts.
AW30711; AW30804; AW30606; AW30603; AW30710; AWCP0316; AWCP0319; AWCP0322; AWCP0328; AWCP0337; AWCP0338; AWCP0339; AWCP0347; AWCP0373; AWCP0373; AWCP0386; AWCP0389; AWCP03619; AWCP03830; AW31019; AW31021; AW31028; AW31033; AW30801; AWCP0337; AW31019	Respondents requested that details be provided of the alternative provision for children who usually walk or cycle to Fen Ditton Primary School whilst the Junction 34 four-arm crossing is being built. They also request further details regarding how the impact on the River Cam cycle and footpath and river closure caused by building the transfer tunnel can be handled and mitigated.	No	The project is proposing to improve a section of the existing NMU route from Horningsea village to Fen Ditton Primary School between Low Fen Drove Way and the southern 'on slip' signalised junction. The measures include: increasing the width of the existing shared use footway / cycleway to 3.0m, provide separation between the NMU route and the adjacent carriageway by providing a new 1.0m wide verge between the carriageway and NMU route, replacing the existing parapet on the A14 overbridge (with a high barrier) to provide a cycleway compliant facility, improvements to the existing signalised pedestrian crossing points on the 'on-slip' and 'off-slip' roads, a reduction in the maximum speed limit on Horningsea Road from 60mph to 40mph between the villages of Horningsea and Fen Ditton (subject to agreement from the Local Highway Authority and the Police).
AW30144; AWCP0484; AWCP03504; AWCP03533; AWCP03534; AWCP03791; AW31016	Respondents mentioned concern regarding the volume of visitor traffic and commented that there should be no parking facility at the discovery centre for visitors in order to reduce traffic.	No	The Applicant has determined that due to the Discovery Centre being appointment only, they envisage traffic numbers to be minimal.
AW30110; AWCP0410	Some respondents requested an ample provision of parking for visitors to the discovery centre and surrounding walks.	No	The Applicant has confirmed that parking is available for those visiting the discovery centre (Application document reference 4.10.1 Design Plans - Buildings)

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30220	Respondent wishes that noiseless scooters are kept off paths and roads.	No	The nature of this comment is not relevant to the Proposed Development.
AWCP0313	Respondent wishes to know the planned alternative options for traffic routes in the case of traffic incidents obstructing official construction traffic routes.	No	The Traffic and Transport chapter of the Environmental Statement has assessed the potential for driver delay. This also accounts for mitigation measures such as those designed to minimise vehicle movements and control vehicle movements coinciding with morning and afternoon peaks. Measures to control traffic are set out within the CoCP Part A and B and a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP). The assessment is underpinned by a Transport Assessment (TA) the scope of which has been agreed with CCC. In operation and construction there will also be Travel Plans intended to incentivise sustainable forms of transport and reduce vehicle trips (Application document reference 5.2.19 Environmental Statement, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, Application document reference 5.4.19.7: Construction Traffic Management Plan).
AWCP0339; AWCP0347	Respondents have requested details of how congestion will be prevented at Station Road, Waterbeach when the crossing is converted to full barriers. They expressed concern that delays of 20 minutes have been predicted due to barriers not opening between trains.	No	The Traffic and Transport chapter of the Environmental Statement has assessed the potential for driver delay. This also accounts for mitigation measures such as those designed to minimise vehicle movements and control vehicle movements coinciding with morning and afternoon peaks. Measures to control traffic are set out within the CoCP Part A and B and a Construction Traffic Management Plan (CTMP). The assessment is underpinned by a Transport Assessment (TA) the scope of which has been agreed with CCC. In operation and construction there will also be Travel Plans intended to incentivise sustainable forms of transport and reduce vehicle trips (Application document reference 5.2.19 Environmental Statement, Chapter 19: Traffic & Transport, Application document reference 5.4.19.7: Construction Traffic Management Plan).
AWCP03722	A responded about the impact of facility construction and development on the National Hyacinth collection.	No	The Applicant's proposals for vibration, dust and pollution management will be set out in the Code of Construction Practice, Application document reference: 5.4.2.1. Furthermore, throughout the construction of the Proposed Development there will be a dedicated Community Liaison Officer through which any concerns can be raised. In this location Horizontal Directional Drilling (HDD) will be used, therefore reducing the possibility of dust pollution. Further, the air quality assessment (see Application Document Reference 5.4.7.1) concludes no significant effects on ecological receptors.

Table 2-14 Water Resources

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30405; AW30943; AW31001; AW31002; AWCP0460; AW31043	Respondents expressed general concern regarding the potential flood risk and commented on the impact this will have on house insurance for surrounding areas. They highlighted the need for sufficient mitigation measures such as overflow fields adjacent to the site.	No	<p>The new facility is being designed to treat the waste water of Greater Cambridge, prevent flooding by managing storm flows and serve the environment. This includes taking account of a growing population and climate change.</p> <p>In addition to the Hydrological Impact Assessment, the water environment is fully assessed and reported in the Water Resources chapter of the Environmental Statement (Application document reference 5.2.20, ES Chapter 20: Water Resources). The assessment is supported by a WFD Assessment (for which the screening stage has been prepared in consultation with the Environment Agency), a flood risk assessment (FRA) which considers potential changes in fluvial flow volumes, and modelling to understand effluent velocities related to the outfall. Impacts and associated effects to designated sites are assessed and reported in the biodiversity chapter of the Environmental Statement (Application document reference 5.2.8. Chapter 8: Biodiversity). This assessment refers to the findings of the Water Resources chapter as well as the HRA Report in the case of European sites. The HRA Report has been developed in consultation with Natural England.</p>
AW30803; AW30712; AW30943; AW30928; AW30944; AW31001; AW30939; AW30957; AW30036; AWCP0422; AWCP03629; AWCP03638; AWCP03699; AWCP03737; AWCP03763; AWCP03790; AWCP03840; AWCP03845; AWCP03851; AWCP03853; AW31011; AW31016; AW31031; AW31032; AW31042; AW31043; AWCP03835; AWCP03851; AW31016; AW31026; AWCP03737; AW30109; AWCP03638;	Respondents were concerned about potential water run-off and pollution of local waterways and the impact that this will have on general water quality. They expressed particular distress surrounding groundwater contamination since the site is underlain by the lowermost stratum of the chalk aquifer. Respondents furthermore expressed concern regarding discharges into the river Cam.	No	<p>The Applicant understands the critical importance of hydrology, groundwater and protecting the underlying aquifer.</p> <p>The Applicant's Groundwater assessment has shown that changes to water resources during construction would be temporary and would be mitigated by rigorous standard protection measures, resulting in no significant effects. The Applicant has undertaken a water quality assessment, which is available in the Environmental Statement (Application document reference 5.2.20. Chapter 20: Water Resources).</p>
AW30122	Respondent believes that, in conjunction with the WWTP, there should be provision of a reservoir.	No	The creation of a reservoir is not within the project scope. The project has looked at how water habitat can be created on site from a landscape and biodiversity perspective. We can confirm that we will be created Ridge and Furrows within the landscape that will provide water habitats.

Table 2-15 Other and/or General Comments Received

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30807; AW30902; AW30804; AW30605; AW30714; AW30709; AW30901; AW30940; AW30952; AW30960; AW30924; AW30917; AW30947; AW30941; AW31002; AWCP03567; AWCP03597; AWCP03632; AWCP03657; AWCP03667; AWCP03686; AWCP03689; AWCP03692; AWCP03700; AWCP03705; AWCP03723; AWCP03740; AWCP03759; AWCP03761; AWCP03763; AWCP03782; AWCP03796; AWCP03803; AWCP03807; AWCP03846; AWCP03850; AWCP03888; AWCP03911; AWCP031120; AWCP031159; AWCP031161; AW31023; AW31025; AW31027; AW31029; AW31031; AW31044; AW31045; AW30109; AW30210	Respondents expressed general concern regarding the cost of project. Many of these referred to the development as an unnecessary taxpayer expenditure.	No	The Applicant is confident with the cost estimates. A funding statement is provided as part of the DCO Application (Application document reference. 3.2) which demonstrates that the project can be fully funded and its commitments can be delivered.
AW30807; AW30801; AW30702; AW30134; AW30131; AW30205; AW30113; AW30804; AW30803; AW30803; AW30803; AW30407; AW30508; AW30701; AW30903; AW30206; AW30304; AW30940; AW30951; AW30934; AW31009; AW30952; AW30960; AW30935; AW30944; AW30937; AW31001; AW30917; AW31008; AW30947; AW30914; AW30941; AW30607; AWCP0356; AWCP0357; AWCP0407; AWCP0419; AWCP0478; AWCP0491; AWCP03499; AWCP03504; AWCP03506; AWCP03509; AWCP03511; AWCP03520; AWCP03521; AWCP03531; AWCP03578; AWCP03579; AWCP03587; AWCP03591; AWCP03592; AWCP03593; AWCP03594; AWCP03596; AWCP03601; AWCP03602; AWCP03618; AWCP03630; AWCP03631; AWCP03632; AWCP03646; AWCP03653; AWCP03657; AWCP03674; AWCP03685; AWCP03688; AWCP03695; AWCP03706; AWCP03753; AWCP03762; AWCP03783; AWCP03796; AWCP03797; AWCP03810; AWCP03814; AWCP03825; AWCP03838; AWCP03846; AWCP03852; AWCP031044; AWCP031047; AWCP031092; AWCP031093; AWCP031100; AWCP031127; AWCP031131; AWCP031132; AWCP031145; AWCP031157; AWCP031159; AW31011; AW31012; AW31025; AW31026; AW31038; AW31042; AW31045; AW31044; AW30210	Respondents iterated that they do not agree with site relocation since there is no operational need to move the WWTP.	No	<p>The Applicant acknowledges that the relocation project is being funded through the Government's Housing Infrastructure Fund (HIF). However, in doing so the relocation project will:</p> <p>Allow the existing site to be redeveloped, delivering around 5,600 of the 8,350 much-needed new homes in North East Cambridge, including around 40 per cent affordable housing (rented and shared ownership).</p> <p>Enable the vision of an inclusive, walkable, low-carbon new city district with a lively mix of homes, workplaces, services and social spaces with good connectivity, that are fully integrated with surrounding communities.</p> <p>Enable improvements to walking, cycling and public transport connectivity, helping to address climate change through reducing car use.</p> <p>Create new parks and open spaces that will form an accessible green space network with a wide range of plants and wildlife, linked with parks in the wider area.</p> <p>Reduce pressure for housing development in greenfield locations, where it would take up far more land and be less sustainable in terms of transport emissions. A low-carbon city district can achieve higher densities of housing than housing developed on greenfield or Green Belt sites and achieve a higher proportion of non-car journeys.</p>
AW30214; AW30122; AW30129; AW30132; AW30203; AWCP031063; AW30109	Approval of the proposed development site - The plan is well thought out and information has been communicated well	No	The Applicant notes this comment and refers to the Consultation Report (Application document reference 6.1. for a full account of the pre-application consultation).

Consultee ID	Summary of Consultee Comment	Change to proposal?	Applicant Response
AW30801	Respondent has expressed that consultation and planning on how to use the land of the current waste water treatment facility should be much more advanced and presented alongside this proposal.	No	The Applicant understands that consultation has been taking place on the core site and further information can be found at [REDACTED]. A public consultation event on the core site development took place in October 2022.
AW31020	Respondent has highlighted the site as a risk to the community since, in the event of a war, Horningsea would be a bomb target because CWWTP is infrastructure	No	The Applicant has consulted with the relevant statutory undertakers regarding the safety of the site include the HSA and UK Health Security Agency.
AW30107; AWCP03725; AW31043	Respondents were concerned regarding the effect that this development will have on house prices in the surrounding area.	No	The Applicant acknowledges this feedback but anticipates that there will not be an impact on house prices due to the distance from residential properties. The Applicant has also been working hard to both mitigate the visual impacts of the facility whilst proposing biodiversity and access improvements to the wider site area.
AWCP03709; AWCP03777; AWCP03830	Respondents commented that there is no available detail of the proposed pipe connection through the fields adjacent to the east of Horningsea. They have therefore requested information regarding; location, size, construction process, access, timescale, assessment of impact on residences along its length, noise, air pollution, disruption, visual impact, impact on amenity and general wellbeing.	No	The Applicant has consulted on full extent of its proposals at its Phase Three consultation where the Applicant made available its PEIR and full suite of accompanying works plans and maps. The Applicant has undertaken a rigorous EIA process, with preliminary survey and assessment information for the Proposed Development presented at Phase Three consultation and the full ES (document reference: Volume 5) is submitted with the DCO application.

Get in touch

You can contact us by:



Emailing at info@cwwtpr.com



Calling our Freephone information line on **0808 196 1661**



Writing to us at **Freepost: CWWTPR**



Visiting our website at 

You can view all our DCO application documents and updates on the application on The Planning Inspectorate website:

<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/projects/eastern/cambridge-waste-water-treatment-plant-relocation/>